

NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT



PREPARED FOR: 3N FARM NIMMER FAM PROPS LLC P.O Box 1599 RIDGELAND, SC 29936-2621

Submitted to: The Town of Ridgeland, South Carolina Planning and Zoning Board And Town Council



www.thomasandhutton.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE C	DF CONTENTS	. i
SECTIC	DN 1	1
INTR	RODUCTION AND DESCRIPTION	1
1.1 1.2 1.3	PROPERTY OWNERSHIP, LEGAL DESCRIPTION, AND CURRENT USE INTENT OF THE NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT PROCESS	1 1 2
SECTIC	DN 2	3
LAN	ID USE MASTER PLAN	3
2.1	CONCEPTUAL LAND USE MASTER PLAN" AND DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE	3
SECTIC	DN 3	4
DED	DICATIONS TO THE TOWN OF RIDGELAND	4
3.1	DEDICATION OF ROODS AND DRAINAGE SYSTEMS	4
SECTIC	DN 4	5
(a)	Development Stance	6
SECTIC	DN 5	7
BUIL	DING SCALE PLANS	7
AQUA		4
INITIAI	L TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	5
PRELIN		6
EXHIBI	T A – LEGAL DESCRIPTION / DEEDS / BOUNDAR SURVEYS	A
EXHIBI	T B – CONCEPTUAL LAND USE MASTER PLAN Exhibit	В
EXHIBI	T C – AQUATIC RESOURCES DELINEATION EXHIBIT Exhibit	С
EXHIBI	T D – INITIAL TRAFFIC ANALYSIS Exhibit	D
EXHIBI	T E – PRELIMINARY PLAT Exhibit	Е
	•	

ORDINANCE COMPLIANCE

Special District

This text and the attached exhibits, plans, and associated documents are included to meet the filing requirements of a Special District Consideration within the Town of Ridgeland Zoning/Smart Code.



SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION AND DESCRIPTION

The Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT is located west of downtown Ridge off of Tarboro Road and Nimmer Turf Road approximately 2.5 miles west of Interstate 95 (I–95). The site is known as Jasper County Parcel Map numbers 046–00–07–001, 046–00–07–002 (only the portion east of Nimmer Turf Road), 046–00–07–018,046–00–06–120(only the portion south of Nimmer Turf Road), 063–00–01–006, and 063–00–01–007. The main vehicular access points to the property will be via Tarboro Road with a secondary access further north on Tarboro Road and west at Nimmer Turf Road. Boundary for the subject property provided in Exhibit "A."

The total property is approximately four hundred and five acres (408 AC) consisting of approximately thirty-five acres (35 AC) of freshwater wetlands as indicated on the exhibit titled Aquatic Resources Delineation Exhibit as Exhibit "C" to the SPECIAL DISTRICT. The freshwater wetland and other aquatic resources boundaries are s proximate. A US Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determination establishing the boung wetlands will be in place prior to the submittal of any final ries development permit oplications to the Town of Ridgeland. Any area that is determined to be or is primitted for impacts shall be allowed to be developed based on the either non-jurisdiction associated allowed land s within that Planning Area. Freshwater wetlands on the property are e arreages are based on a preliminary wetlands assessment typical of coastal South Carolina. Th in January 2023, then surveyed by Coastal and are performed by Sligh Environment rvice suitable for master plan level perhning These acreages are likely to differ from the final surveyed verified by the USACE and the state of South Carolina. wetland jurisdictional determination rate the verified wetlands information and not those included Therefore, final design plans will incorp herein.

1.1 PROPERTY OWNERSHIP, LEGAL DESCRIPTION, AND CURRENT USE

The Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT is comprised of five tarcts (146–00–07–001, 046–00–07–014, 046–00–07–018, 063–00–01–006, and 063–00–01–007), and portion or two additional tracts (046–00–07–002 & 046–00–06–120).

The five tracts are contains 405.195 acres and are currently out of by 3N FARM NIMMER FAMILY. ,The property is currently mostly fields used for sociooroduction as well as partly wooded on portions.

Exhibit "A" contains the property description surveys and deeds.

1.2 INTENT OF THE NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT

This SPECIAL DISTRICT will be developed with a variety of residential housing types with associated amenities as well as a dedicated site for municipal needs. The variation planned for the residential development will offer residential options with easy access to US Highway 17 and Interstate 95 via Tillman Road (Hwy. 336), the property will have convenient access to the two major north/south transportation corridors in the region.

Please refer to **Exhibit "B"** – "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" for location of the various land use areas within property.

Zoning to SPECIAL DISTRICT, as allowed under the Special District, Section 4.5 of the Ridgeland Zoning Ordinance, which states:

"Areas that, by their intrinsic size, function, or configuration, cannot conform to the requirements of any Transect Zone or combination of zones shall be designated as special districts by the Planning Office in the process of preparing an infill plan. Conditions of development for special districts shall be determined in public hearing of the Planning Commission and Town Council and recorded on Table 11."

1.3 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT PROCESS

The following process will be established for submittal and approval of Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT.

- (1) The review and approval of the "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" (rezoning) by the Ridgeland Planning Commission, and subsequently the Ridgeland Town Council. The "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" establishes the framework for development of the property are an extended time frame. Land uses, density, environmental quality, and utility ervice are described in this document.
- (2) Final Divelopment Plans consist of: Preliminary and Final Plats for major subdivisions and Preliminary and Final Site Plans for Multifamily, Amenity Recreation areas, Industrial and / or Commencial uses.
- The Final Development (3) will be submitted for approval to the Town for each act to be developed. The Final Development Plans will portion/phase of the describe specific dev opments in detail as studies and designs are prepared. Specific development standards for an ividual lot setbacks, buffers, minimum lot area, lot coverage, road design as ado ds, building heights and other development standards shall be in accordance with those established in this document or as amended by the Owner and g cepted b The Town of Ridgeland. Specific subdivisions (final plats), Multi-family, Am hity Recreation areas, Industrial and the Nown for development approval. Commercial site plans will be submitter 1C Supporting documentation of the appropriate detail is required at each level of approval.

LAND USE MASTER PLAN

2.1 CONCEPTUAL LAND USE MASTER PLAN" AND DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE

The Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT is anticipated to be constructed in several phases over a period of approximately 5 to 10 years. Development will occur in accordance with the "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" (**Exhibit B**) as set forth in this document or as amended in the future. The "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" and this text, outline the general scope of the development including number of units, development standards, open space, and other issues.

The "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" is nonspecific regarding the final location of specific land uses, lots, budges, and other elements to allow flexibility in the future. Actual development may yield gnificantly less density. The goal of the development is to produce a high quality environmentally sensitive, community. The SPECIAL DISTRICT designation is necessary to a communitate the anticipated mixture of land uses planned for the property while providing an enhanced mechanism to promote responsible planning and development of the property over an extended time frame.

The land use areas indicated calle Conceptual Land Use Master Plan are not intended to be rigid exact boundary lines for future land use and improvements. The boundaries of the SPECIAL DISTRICT may be modified to include adjacent acreage subject to the approval of the Town of Ridgeland by appropriate perion/application to the Town to amend the SPECIAL DISTRICT.

Any applicable conservation or agriculture tax beneficiaball remain in place until such a time as a particular tract of land is approved for revelopment permits and/or platted for subdivision.

The "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" (refer to **Exhibit B**) shows a maximum of 1,400 residential dwelling units within the Residential planning areas. A showhich will likely be built in phases over an extended period. Allowed uses within each and use area of the SPECIAL DISTRICT are detailed under Section 2.b – Allowed Land User and Development Standards.

The "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" and the elements of this text seek to maintain significant areas of open space. The open space and amenities will be owned and maintained by the developer, homeowner's association, or other legally designated entity. Property deeded to a governmental entity becomes the maintenance responsibility of that entity.

The "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan" and associated SPECIAL DISTRICT text includes amendments and/or exceptions to the current Town of Ridgeland Ordinances, as well as introduces land uses that may not exist or have different requirements than those found in the current Town of Ridgeland zoning ordinances. The provisions of the "Conceptual Land Use Master Plan," Exhibits, and Appendices shall apply to development of the Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT.

DEDICATIONS TO THE TOWN OF RIDGELAND

3.1 DEDICATION OF ROADS AND DRAINAGE SYSTEMS

Except for infrastructure and systems that are specifically agreed to be private and privately maintained, the Owner will construct all roads and drainage systems in accordance with Town of Ridgeland and/or other applicable standards. Roads and drainage systems which are accessible by the public may either be dedicated to The Town of Ridgeland or owned and maintained by the community's Property Owners Association (POA) and / or other legally established entity. Should any of the residential communities be planned as gated, the Developer will establish a Homeowners Association (HOA) to own and maintain the roads and drainage system within the gated community.

3.2 DEDICATION CE WATER & SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMS

The proposed water and we rewater system will be designed and constructed to meet or exceed The Town of Ridgeland S ecifications. A future water and sewer agreement between the Owner and/or as go and the Town of Ridgeland will dictate the granting of utility easements, construction and ownership of water supply and wastewater conveyance and other terms related to water and sewer utilities.



SITE CONDITIONS AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

Impacts due to stormwater runoff are expected to be minimal. State and local stormwater ordinances shall be complied with for the design and installation of the drainage system for each phase of development. Best Management Practices will be used as appropriate and required to control the impact of stormwater runoff. No significant groundwater recharge areas, water supply watersheds, or protected river corridors exist within the development.

Stormwater collectize for the site will consist primarily of pipes, swales, and ditches; which will outfall to a series, connected onsite detention ponds. Both water quality and water ddressed in the site development design for each phase. Water quality will quantity will be be controlled by allowing solids to settle in the onsite detention ponds before being released from the site. ater Cantity will be maintained by sizing the outlets from onsite detention ost-development runoff rates do not exceed the preponds in a manner such the applicable design storms. The interconnected ponds will development runoff rates, unotinto adjacent freshwater wetlands and ditches which discharge treated stormy aten will convey the runoff to tributeries of the Great Swamp east and west of the site. Town of Ridgeland, State, and Federa stormwater ordinances will be followed in the design of the stormwater system. Also, as the area is deploped, a master plan will be developed to accommodate the specific development plans for individual phases / tracts.

As part of the development process, the Owner or its assignees will implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Storm Water Management as required. The regulatory requirements dictate BMPs be implemented to product outwater bodies to minimize impacts from development. Use of detention lagoons, where possible, is a practice of treating storm water prior to release to the receiving stream to meet water quality standards defined by local and state regulations.

4.2 WETLANDS

Freshwater wetlands on the property are typical of coastal South Carolina. Based on an estimate performed by Sligh Environmental Consultants, Inc., approximately 9 percent of the property consists of jurisdictional and isolated freshwater wetlands. These wetlands are subject to field verification by SCDHC–OCRM and the U.S. Corps of Engineers (USCOE). At this time no wetland impacts are proposed). Upon verification, a survey of the jurisdictional wetlands will be performed and a request for a Jurisdictional Determination letter from the USCOE will be submitted.

See Aquatic Resources Delineation Exhibit "C"

4.3 UTILITIES

The Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT will be served by extensions of the adjacent Town of Ridgeland water distribution system and wastewater collection system. A separate water and sewer agreement will govern the timing and amount of water and sewer capacity town allocated to the SPECIAL DISTRICT from the town of Ridgeland.

Palmetto Electric will provide Electrical Power.

Other utility services will be provided by legally established entities at the discretion of the Owner provided such are in accordance with the franchising ordinances/licenses of the Town of Ridgeland.

4.4 SITE ACCESS AND TRAFFIC

The Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT will have two direct accesses to State Rd S-27-22/Tarboro Road as well as potential additional access point to Nimmer Turf Road. The general planned location of access points is shown on the Conceptual Land Use Master. The final route of and access points for all new roads will be determined at the time of final site plan approval.

An initial traffic impact analysis was conducted as part of the SPECIAL DISTRICT. The analysis calculated average daily traffic and peak hour traffic that would be generated by the development of the SPECIAL DISTRICT based on the maximum allowable density detailed in Section 2(d) of the SPECIAL DISTRICT. The analysis also approximated the distribution of traffic to existing public roads

See attached Exhibit "D" for Londerraffic Analysis for Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT.

4.5 RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

Restrictive Covenants will be applied to the poperty. The Developer will create and record the Restrictive Covenants prior to the second ubdivided property.

(a) Development Standards

Site development within Nimmer SPEC, DISNUCT will be controlled by the development standards that are established in Section 5 and Section 6 of this document.



BUILDING SCALE PLANS

5.1 – INSTRUCTIONS.

- 5.1.1 Lots and buildings located within Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT are governed by this Code and previously approved by the Planning Commission shall be subject to the requirements of this article.
- 5.1.2 Design plans required under this article require administrative approval by the CRC.
- 5.1.3 Building and site plans submitted under this article shall show the following, in compliance with the standards described in this article:
 - A. For preliminary site and building approval:
 - onling disposition.

Building configuration.

- Puding function.
- Parking Legation Candards.
- Plans and dr. wings required by this Code shall be stamped by a South Carolina licensed engineer or architect.
- B. For final approval, in addition to the above:
 - Landscape standards.
 - Signage standards.
 - Special requirements, if any

5.2 PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS.

- 5.2.1 Existing buildings and appurtenances that do not confront to the provisions of this Code may continue in use as they are until a substantial modification is requested, at which time the CRC shall determine the provisions of this section that shall apply.
- 5.2.2 The modification of existing buildings is permitted by right if such changes result in greater conformance with the specifications of this Code.
- 5.2.3 Where buildings exist on adjacent lots, the CRC may require that a proposed building match one or the other of the adjacent setbacks and heights rather than the provisions of this Code.
- 5.2.4 Any addition to or modification of a building of value that has been designated as such by the Town of Ridgeland Municipal Code, or to a building actually or potentially eligible for inclusion on a State, Local or National Historic Register, shall be subject to approval by the Town of Ridgeland Town Administrator.
- 5.2.5 The restoration or rehabilitation of an existing building shall not require the provision of (a) parking in addition to that existing nor (b) on-site stormwater retention/detention in addition to that existing. Existing parking requirements that exceed those for this Code may be reduced as provided by Table 6 and Table 7.

5.3 SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

- 5.3.1 To the extent that a regulating plan for either a new community plan or an Infill Community Plan designates any of the following special requirements, standards shall be applied as follows:
- 5.3.2 Source water protection standards:
 - A. Building scale plans must adhere to the distance (no closer than 100 feet) and contaminants of concerns in relation to existing production wells in the Town of Ridgeland. Source water protection standards are as set forth in Ridgeland Code Chapter 51.

5.4 - CIVIC ZONES

- 5.4.1 General.
 - A. Civic Zones are designated on community plans as Civic Space (CS) or Civic uilding (CB).
 - B. Parking povisions for Civic Zones shall be determined by warrant.
- 5.4.2 Civic Spaces (C
 - A. Cive spaces sharebe generally designed as described in Table 9.
- 5.4.3 Civic Buildings (CB),
 - A. Civic Buildings s to be subject to the requirements of this article. The particulars of the r design shall be determined by warrant.

5.5 – BUILDING DISPOSITION.

- A. Newly platted lots shall be direct sion of according to Table 10 f. and Table 11.
- B. Building disposition types shall be a shown in Table 5 and Table 10 i.
- C. Buildings shall be disposed of in relation to the boundaries of their lots according to Table 10 g., Table 10 h., and Table 11.
- D. One principal building at the frontage, and one outbuilding to the rear of the principal building, may be built on each lot as shown in Table 17C.
- E. Lot coverage by building shall not exceed that records in table 10 f. and Table 11.
- F. Facades shall be built parallel to a rectilinear principal frontage line or to the tangent of a curved principal frontage line, and along a minimum percentage of the frontage width at the setback, as specified as frontage buildout on Table 10 g. and Table 11.
- G. Setbacks for principal buildings shall be as shown in Table 10 g. and Table 11. In the case of an infill lot, setbacks shall match one of the existing adjacent setbacks. Setbacks may otherwise be adjusted by warrant.
- H. Rear setbacks for outbuildings shall be a minimum of 12 feet measured from the centerline of the rear alley or rear lane easement. In the absence of rear alley or rear lane, the rear setback shall be as shown in Table 10 h. and Table 11.
- I. To accommodate slopes over ten percent, relief from front setback requirements is available by warrant.

5.6 – BUILDING CONFIGURATION.

- A. The private frontage of buildings shall conform to and be allocated in accordance with Table 4 and Table 10 j.
- C. All facades shall be glazed with clear glass no less than 15% of the first story.
- D. Building heights, stepbacks, and extension lines shall conform to Table 5 and Table 10 j.
- E. Stories may not exceed 14 feet in height from finished floor to finished ceiling, except for a first floor commercial function, which shall be a minimum of 11 feet with a maximum of 25 feet. A single floor level exceeding 14 feet, or 25 feet at ground level, shall be counted as two (2) stories. Mezzanines extending beyond 33% of the floor area shall be counted as an additional story.
- F. In a parking structure or garage, each above–ground level counts as a single story regardless of its relationship to habitable stories.
- G. Height lines as not apply to attics or raised basements, masts, belfries, clock towers unimney lues, water tanks, or elevator bulkheads. Attics shall not exceed 14 fee in height
- H. The habitable area of an accessory unit within a principal building or an outbuilding shall not exceed 440 much feet, excluding the parking area.
- I. No portion of the private finatace may encroach the sidewalk.

5.7 – BUILDING FUNCTION.

- 5.7.1 Buildings in each transect zone shot conform to the functions on Table 6, Table 8 and Table 10 I. Functions that a not conform shall require approval by warrant or variance as specified on Table 8.
- 5.7.2 Accessory functions of restricted obdging or a tricted office shall be permitted within an accessory building. See Table 6.
- 5.7.3 Accessory functions of limited lodging committee office shall be permitted within an accessory building. See Table 6.
- 5.7.4 Structures for overnight habitation prohibited. No structure shall be allowed or permitted (either new construction or rehabilitated) for the purpose of overnight habitation (either on a short term or long-term basis), the Town of Ridgeland other than unattached (non-conjoined) single family residential units on a separately platted lot. Any other type of construction, modifications to existing structure or change in use to provide housing (overnight habitation) is strictly prohibited without special exception being granted by Council; prohibited type of housing includes but are not limited to hotels, boarding houses, half-way houses, nightly or weekly rentals, apartments, duplexes, or multi-family of any kind.

5.8 – PARKING AND DENSITY CALCULATIONS.

- 5.8.1 General
 - A. Buildable density on a lot shall be determined by the actual parking provided within the lot as table 10.

5.9 – PARKING LOCATION STANDARDS.

5.9.1 General

- A. Parking shall be accessed by rear alleys or rear lanes, when such are available.
- B. Open parking areas may be located anywhere within the lot.
- C. Garage doors may not be located closer than 20 feet from the right-ofway to accommodate driveway parking without protruding into public space
- D. Driveways at frontages shall be no wider than 16 feet in the first layer.

5.10 – LANDSCAPE STANDARDS.

- 5.10.1 General
 - A. Landscaping for the creation of streetscapes in the public realm, with street trees, on-lot trees and preserved clusters of trees acting as the form of mitigation for the removal of grand tree resources. Grand trees are defined as ray see 24" dbh or greater or any live oak or palmetto tree 12" dbh or greater. The mitigation requirement is 1.5" to 1".
 - B. Street tries and spacing shall be coordinated and approved by the Anapity Director in consultation with the CRC. Whenever possible the following should be used: Locally made soil amendments and compost for plant nourishment, haproved water absorption, and holding capacity; drought trieran and/or slow growing hardy grasses, native and indigenous plants, shrubs, gound covers, and trees appropriate for local conditions; and mulches to minimize evaporation, reduce weed growth, and retard erosion.
 - C. Non-grand tree records are permitted to be removed with CRC approval.
 - D. Grand tree are subject to kemoval or / b warrant.
 - E. Impermeable surface shall be conned to the ratio of lot coverage specified in Table 10 f.
 - F. Landscape strips of at least 6 feet in width shall be provided between parking isles of either head-in or diagonal parking cac landscape median shall have at least one tree for every 20 linear set, or portion thereof, and be covered with grass, shrubs, or living ground cover. To minimize water consumption, the use of low-water vegetative ground cover other than turf is encouraged.
 - G. In lieu of landscape strips, landscape islands can be provided. No more than 6 consecutive parking stalls are permitted without a landscape island of at least 6 feet in width and extending the entire length of the parking stall. A minimum of one tree shall be planted in each landscape island.
 - H. The first layer may not be paved, with the exception of driveways as specified in Section 5.10.2 and Section 5.10.3. (Table 12 d.)
 - I. A minimum of two trees shall be planted within the first layer for each 30 feet of frontage line or portion thereof. (Table 12 d.)
 - J. Trees may be of single or multiple species as shown on Table 3B.
 - K. Trees shall be naturalistically clustered.

L. Lawn shall be permitted by warrant.

5.11 – SIGNAGE STANDARDS.

- 5.11.1 General
 - A. There shall be no signage permitted additional to that specified in this section.
 - B. The address number, no more than 6 inches measured vertically, shall be attached to the building in proximity to the principal entrance or at a mailbox.
 - C. Architecturally compatible ground level signs shall not exceed 6' in height, 16' in length and 2' in width, and they shall be authorized by CRC.
 - D. Signage shall be externally illuminated.
 - E. All proposed signage shall be reviewed and approved by the CRC.

5.12 - ARCHITECTURA STANLARDS.

The following schitect all standards shall apply to all structures

- 5.12.1 Walls Monenals.
 - A. Walls shall be wished in wood clapboard (sealed with paint or stain), board and batter, center singles, "hardie plank," stucco, or brick. Walls may be finished in brick is approved by the CRC. Walls for single family residential units may be finished in vinyl siding provided the material thickness is not less than 0.42 millimeters.
 - B. Foundation walls, and pieces all be parged block, smooth finished poured concrete, tabby, stucco, or prick.
 - C. Crawl space may be skirted with horizon I wood boards, or framed wood with not more than 1.5" spaces between boards or wood louvers. Lattice shall be installed between supports as opproved by the CRC. Galvanized hardware cloth may be placed behind the lattic
 - D. Garden walls shall be stucco or brick. Gates in gards, walls shall be wood or iron. Garden walls shall not be perforated with precast elements, but may accommodate pierced brick.
 - E. Fences at frontages and in front yards shall be made of smooth cedar, or p.t. wood pickets; spacing between pickets shall not exceed 1.5". All other fences shall be governed by community covenants and restrictions, if applicable.
 - F. Retaining walls shall be built of stucco, brick, fractured face concrete or tabby.
- **5.12.2 Walls** Configurations and techniques.
 - A. Walls may be built of no more than two materials and shall only change material along a horizontal line, i.e. cedar shingles may be combined with wood siding when the material change occurs horizontally, (typical at floor line or a gable end), with the heavier material below the lighter. All the walls of a single building must be built of the same materials in the same configuration. Wood clapboard and shingles shall be horizontal.

- B. Siding shall be horizontal, maximum 6" to the weather.
- C. Boards with more than 6" to the weather shall show a 1" variation from one board to the next. Shingles shall be maximum 8" to the weather. Decorative shingles shall not be permitted.
- D. Stucco shall be smooth sand- or tabby-finished.
- E. Trim shall be minimum grade "B" trim lumber; or vinyl and shall be 3.5" to 6" in width at corners and around opening, except at the front door, which may be any size (3.5" minimum) or configuration.
- F. Garden walls shall be minimum 8" thick and have a horizontal cap. Brick mortar joints shall be struck and no more than %" wide.
- H. Walls shall be one color.
- I. Colors: Colors for all materials shall be selected from a master list approved by the CRC. Rough siding shall be a dark color. Masonry, smooth siding and trial shall be a light color, which may or may not be the same as the wall color.
- J. Nints and stains: All exterior smooth wood shall be painted. Wood shingles may be left to appendium naturally, or shall be stained.

5.12.3 Elements – Materico.

- A. Chimneys shall e-mished with stucco or brick. Flues for pot belly stoves shall be metal with an appropriate lintel or jack arch.
- B. Piers and arches shall be private of stucco, brick, or tabby.
- C. Porches, columns, posts, buildles and balusters shall be made of wood. Porches may be enclosed with glass or screens for a maximum of 30% of their length; however glass inclosures incost permitted at frontages. Porch ceilings may be enclosed with printed wood; exposed joists shall be painted.
- D. Arcades are not permitted.
- E. Stoops shall be made of wood, brick, or concrete, a stoop shall have brick or stucco cheek walls.
- F. Decks shall be located in rear yards only.
- G. Awnings shall have a metal structure covered with canvas or synthetic canvas and shall be located in rear yards only.
- H. Metal elements shall be unpainted galvanized steel, anodized or ESP aluminum, or marine grade aluminum.
- I. Patios and stoops may have horizontal surfaces made of brick, or tabby.
- J. The following shall not be permitted: Panelized materials, keystones, quoins, window air conditioning units, above-ground pools (except those of the inflatable variety), antennas, free standing solar panels, signs (on private property), direct vent fireplaces.
- **5.12.4 Elements –** Configurations and techniques.

- A. Chimneys shall be a minimum 2:1 proportion in plan and capped to conceal spark arresters. Fireplace enclosures and chimneys shall extend to the ground.
- B. Porch piers of masonry construction shall be no less than $12' \times 12''$.
- C. Arches of masonry construction shall be no less than 12" in depth.
- D. Breezeways shall have vertically proportioned openings.
- E. Screen porches shall have screens framed in wood installed behind framed railings.
- F. Columns (the classical orders), if provided, shall be of the tuscan or doric orders with correct proportions or profiles according to the American Vignola.
- G. Posts shall be no less than 6" × 6", except at outbuildings. Railings shall have horizontial top and bottom rails. Wood top rails shall be eased and bottom rais shall have a vertical section. Top and bottom rails shall be centered on the pickets. The opening between spindles and balusters shall not exceed "."
- H. Balconies which contilever shall be structurally supported by brackets.
- 1. Signs attached to buildings shall be integral to the building, no larger than 18" in height and warnally lit.
- J. Awnings shall be rectangular in shape with straight edges.
- K. Awnings may have side process but shall not have a bottom soffit panel. Awnings shall not be backlit.
- L. Spotlights attached to build ig walls or roof eaves are only permitted in rear yards and illuminating core shall not exit excess or direct light beyond property line.
- M. Wood elements must be painted or stand with an opaque or semi-solid stain, except walking surfaces which may be left natural.

5.12.5 Roofs—Materials.

- A. Roofs shall be clad in wood shingles, (corrugated, 5 V crimp or standing seam) galvanized steel, galvalume or copper. Asphaltic or fiberglass shingles shall be architectural grade and shall be submitted for approval.
- B. Gutters and downspouts, when used, shall be made of galvanized steel, copper (not copper-coated), anodized or ESP aluminum.
- C. Flashing shall be copper, lead or anodized aluminum.
- D. Copper roofs, flashing, gutters, and downspouts shall be allowed to age naturally (not painted or sealed).
- 5.12.6 Roofs—Configurations and techniques.
 - A. Principal roofs shall be a symmetrical gable or hip with a slope of 6:12 to 10:12.
 - B. Ancillary roofs (attached to walls at the highest portion pf the principal building) may be shed sloped no less than 2:12. Roofs on towers shall be flat or have a slope of 4:12 to 8:12.

- C. Flat roofs, including flat roofs on towers, shall be permitted only when occupiable and accessible from an interior room. Flat roofs shall have a railing or parapet wall no less than 36" high.
- D. Parapets are not permitted
- E. Eaves shall be continuous. Eaves which overhang less than 8" shall have a closed soffit. Eaves which overhang more than 16" shall have exposed rafters. Eaves which overhang between 8" and 16" shall have either a closed soffit or exposed rafters. Rafter tails may not exceed 8" in depth.
- F. Dormers shall be accessible, placed a minimum of 3' from side building walls and have gable or shed roofs with a slope to match the principal structure or shed roofs with a slope 3:12. Dormers shall not be excessively larger than windows, i.e. no siding at either side of windows. Dormer eaves and rake trim should be scaled down from primary eaves and rake trim proportions (66%)
- G. Slanghts hall be flat and mounted so as not to be visible from any fronting arreet.

5.12.7 Opening -Merials.

- A. Windows shall be made of wood (painted), vinyl or aluminum clad and shall be glazed y in clear plass. All trim shall be no less than 3.5". Bay windows shall be made of vinctumber. Corner trim shall be no less than 4".
- B. Doors (including garage doors) shall be wood or metal. Doors shall be painted or stained.
- C. Storefront shall be made if wood, or metal.
- D. Shutters shall be wood, PVC, painted and meet the width of the window when closed.
- E. Security doors and window grilles rus be approved.
- 5.12.8 Openings—Configurations and techniques.
 - A. Windows rectangular single-, double-, or triple-hurs, awning, fixed (under 2 sf), or operable casement types, with a square to vertical proportion. Transoms may be oriented horizontally with manes of vertical proportions. Multiple windows in the same rough opening shall be separated by a 4" minimum post. In masonry walls the centerline of the window sash shall align with the centerline of the wall.
 - B. Window muntins shall be true divided light or simulated divided light windows or fixed on the interior and exterior surface and create panels of square or vertical proportion.
 - C. Bay windows shall have a minimum of 3 sides and shall extend to the floor inside and to the ground outside, if located on the ground floor or, if not, be structurally supported by brackets.
 - D. Storm windows and screens shall be integral with the window. Screens shall be made of brass, bronze, or black vinyl.
 - E. Front doors, including the entry door to the porch on side yard houses, shall be located on the frontage line. (Paired doors are not permitted at frontages. Windows in doors must be rectangular and vertically-oriented.)

- F. Doors shall be hinged. Doors, except garage doors, shall be constructed of planks or raised panels not flush with applied trim which express the construction technique.
- G. Garage doors facing a street frontage shall be a maximum of 16' in width. Garage doors facing an alley shall have a light fixture with an incandescent bulb activated by a photocell. Garage doors shall be painted or stained. Storefronts shall be painted a dark gloss color.
- H. Shutters shall be sized and shaped to match the openings.
- I. Stucco trim articulations shall be subject to approval by the CRC.
- J. An accent color, for items such as the front door and shutters, may be used subject to approval from the CRC.



ARTICLE 6. – STANDARDS AND TABLES

TABLE 2. – TRANSECT ZONE DESCRIPTIONS

NIMMER

SPECIAL DISTRICT: NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT

Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT consists of a medium density residential area. Home occupations and accessory buildings are permitted. Medium to large-sized blocks define neighborhood general and are typically not serviced by rear lanes/alleys.

Permitted uses:

By right: Live/work unit, hed & breakfast (up to 5 rooms), inn (up to 12 rooms), hotel (no room limit), SRO hostel, school datahitory, of ce building, retail building, open-market building, retail building, restaurant, liquor selling estructionment, bus shelter, convention center, conference center, exhibition center, fountain or public articlayground, library, live theater, movie theater, museum, outdoor auditorium, parking structure, passenger terminal, sports stadium, surface parking lot, religious assembly, gasoline, automobile service, truck maintenance, drive-through facility, rest stop, roadside stand, shopping center dispiping mall, childcare center, fire station, elementary school, police station, funeral home, nospital, medical clinic, cemetery, college, high school, trade school, light industrial facility, truck depot, laboratory facility, electric substation, warehouse, produce storage, mini-storage.

By warrant:	Kiosk, push cart, water supply facility.
Civic spaces:	Parks, green, playgrounds, and so an
Base residential density:	6 dwelling units per acre marmum.
Block size/perimeter:	3,000 feet.
Permitted thoroughfares:	See thoroughfare standards for T4 and T5.
Building configuration: Principal building: Outbuilding:	2 stories max. 1 story max.
Setbacks: Principal buildina:	

(G.1) Front setback (principal): 15 ft. min. Garage setback: 20 ft. min.
(G.2) Front setback (secondary): 210 ft. min. Garage setback: 20 ft. min.
(G.3) Side setback: 6 ft. min.
(G.4) Rear setback: 15 ft. min.
Frontage buildout: 0% minimum.

Outbuilding:

(H.1) Front setback: 40 ft. from rear property line.(H.2) Side setback: 6 ft. min.(H.3) Rear setback: 5 ft. min.

Building disposition:

Edgeyard: Permitted. Sideyard: Permitted. Rearyard: Permitted. Courtyard: Permitted. specialized: Permitted.

Lot width: 20 ft. min. and 80 ft. max. Lot coverage: 70%.



TABLE 3A. – PUBLIC FRONTAGES – GENERAL

The Public Frontage is the area between the private Lot line and the edge of the vehicular lanes. Dimensions are given in Table 3B.

	PLAN LOT ►
a. (RD) For Road: This Frontage has open Swales drained by percolation and a walking Path or Bicycle Trail along one or both sides and Yield parking. The landscaping consists of multiple species arrayed in naturalistic clusters.	
b. (ST) For Street: This Frontage has raised Curbs drained by inlets and Sidewalks separated from the vehicular lanes by individual or continuous Planters, with parking at one r both sides. The landscaping consists of street trees of a single or alternang species aligned in a regularly spaced Allee, with the exception that freets with a right-of-way (R.O.W.) width of 40 feet or less are example on tree requirements.	
c. (DR) For Drive: This Frontage has raise Curbs Trained by inlets and a wide Sidewalk or paved Path along one intervelated to a Greenway or waterfront. It is separated from the rehicular lanes by individual or continuous Planters. The landscaping obsists of street trees of a single or alternating species aligned in a regularly spaced Allor	
d. (AV) For Avenue: This Frontage has raised Curbs trained by interts and wide Sidewalks separated from the vehicular lanes by a Nyrow continuous Planter with parking on both sides. The landscape g contests of a single tree species aligned in a regularly spaced Aller.	
e. (BV) For Boulevard: This Frontage has Slip Roads on both sides. It consists of raised Curbs drained by inlets and Sidewalks along both side separated from the vehicular lanes by Planters. The landscaping consists of double rows of a single tree species aligned in a regularly spaced Allee.	

TABLE 3B. – PUBLIC FRONTAGES – SPECIFIC

This table assembles prescriptions and dimensions for the Public Frontage elements – Curbs, walkways, and Planters – relative to specific Thoroughfare types within Transect Zones. Table 3B–a assembles all of the elements for the various street types. Locally appropriate planting species should be filled in to the calibrated Code.

	Nimmer SPEC	CIAL DISTRICT
	ST-DR-AV	ST-DR-AV-BV
Frontage Type		
a. Assembly: The principal variables are the type and dimension of Curbs, walkways, Planters and landscape.	12–18 feet	12–18 feet
Total Width		
b. Curb: The detailing of the edge of the vehicular pavement, incorporating drawage.	Raised Curb 5–20 feet	Raised Curb 5–20
Type Radius		
c. Walkway: The pavement dedicated exclusively to pedestrian activity.	Sidewalk 4–8 feet	Sidewalk 4–8 feet
Type Width		
d. Planter: The layer which accommodates street trees and other landscape.	Regular Alternating Continuous Planter 8 feet–12 feet	Regular Single Continuous Planter 8 feet–12 feet
Arrangement Species Planter Type Planter Width		

TABLE 3C. – THOROUGHFARE ASSEMBLIES Image: Comparison of the second second

THOROUGHFARE DESIGN STANDARDS

- A. General requirements: Thoroughfares shall be designed to balance safety, mobility, community goals and the environment. Thoroughfares shall provide appropriate pedestrian and vehicle mobility options, shall provide appropriate locations for utilities and shall be designed to support adjacent future development. Thoroughfares addressed in this section are to have low to moderate vehicular speed (25 mph or less), varying traffic volumes and shall serve a range of land uses. The layout of thoroughfares as to arrangement, character, width, grade, and location may be required to conform to the general plan of the entire tract where completed, to adjoining thoroughfare systems of adjoining properties, to the major thoroughfare plans of the city, and to the topography, natural features, and drainage systems to be provided.
- B. Contextual design & dansect zones: Thoroughfares shall be designed in context with the urban form, intended uses (motorists, pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users) and desired design speed of the transect zone through which the thoroughfares pass. The transect zones shall be utilized which determining the appropriate context sensitive thoroughfare design for thoroughfare assemblies and corresponding land use areas). Several thoroughfare types may be allowed in each transect zone.
- C. Emergency/service vehicle access and Building Code and Fire Code compliance: Emergency and service vehicle access shall be considered in the design of thoroughfares and thoroughfare systems and minimum requirements shall be met for the proposed subdivision to be approved. Fail re to satisfy all emergency vehicle access requirements of ratified building codes and fire codes in the design of thoroughfares and thoroughfare systems will result in additional requirements when constructing buildings to include, but not be limited to, a requirement for installation on a building fire sprinkler system.
- D. Public transit: Thoroughfares shall be designed to accord he state existing, planned and future public transit. Design accommodations may include rovisions for transit pull off areas and modified curb radii. Curb radii may be tested with urn simulation software for feasibility.
- E. Bicycle provisions: Thoroughfares and community design should provide an opportunity for bicycle travel via a network of bicycle routes, lanes and trails. Biccan travel networks shall be connected to existing or proposed regional networks wherever possible. A bicycle route shall be provided within the vehicular thoroughfare where suitable for shared use of bicycles and vehicles traveling at low speeds and may be indicated with the use of "sharrows" (see Figure 821.1). A dedicated and marked bicycle lane shall be provided within a moderate-speed vehicular thoroughfare. A bicycle trail may be provided separately from the vehicular thoroughfare.



F. Accessibility: Thoroughfares shall be designed to accommodate ADA requirements.

- G. Utilities: Thoroughfares shall be designed to accommodate utilities within the right-of-way including, but not limited to, stormwater drainage, lighting, water, sewer, electric, gas, telephone, cable, etc.
- H. Gates and thoroughfare access restrictions: Reserve strips or parcels controlling access to thoroughfares shall be prohibited. Gates shall not be permitted to block thoroughfares.
- I. Thoroughfare narrowing devices: Thoroughfare narrowing devices including, but not limited to, curb extensions, bulbouts, neckdowns and corner bulges shall not be permitted unless approved by the SCDOT.
- J. Thoroughfare construction requirements: All thoroughfares shall be paved in accordance with minimum requirements on file in the office of the SCDOT engineer.
- K. Existing thoroughfares: Thoroughfares of an existing subdivision shall not be used as the sole means of ingress and egress in developing a new subdivision or extending an existing one, when other access can be made available and when in the opinion of the town engineer such use would create a safety hazard.
- L. Jurisdictional warlands and critical area: No thoroughfare shall be located within a critical area or fresh ater or softwater wetland unless the applicant shall supply to the SCDOT written approval of CriRM or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, or both, as appropriate.
- M. Connectivity: Thoroughfares shall be designed as an interconnected thoroughfare system. An interconnected thoroughfare system is necessary in order to provide for access between developments withou teacrning to major roadways, in order to provide access for emergency and service vehicles, in order to enhance and encourage non-vehicular travel, in order to plan for future development and transportation needs and in order to create neighborhoods. The following thoroughfare connectivity requirements shall apply:
- N. Curb radius modifications & intersection and triangles:
 - 1. The dimensions for curb radii standards are provided to accommodate pedestrians as well as emergency and service vehicles. Smaller curb radii provide for a narrower street crossing, reduces vehicle fixed speed. Larger curb radii allow higher turning speeds which compromise community walkability. The following graphic illustrates the difference between the curb radies and effective turning radius.



In the event that a curb radius needs to be modified to accommodate emergency and service vehicles, turn simulation software should be used and the radius should be increased incrementally until it is just large enough to allow safe, slow passage of the design emergency or service vehicle.

- 2. Standard minimum sight triangle for stop conditions at street intersections shall be accommodated for on all thoroughfares and shall be reviewed by the SCDOT engineer at the time of thoroughfare construction plan submittal.
- O. Garbage service: For properties platted after the effective date of this ordinance, garbage service shall only be provided to the nearest thoroughfare.
- P. Pavement and parking markings and signage: The SCDOT engineer shall determine when and where pavement markings and on-street parking related signs will be installed on all thoroughfares. Pavement markings include but are not limited to parking delineation lines, lane delineation lines and arrows. Final determination of pavement markings and parking signs, including location and type, will be made during thoroughfare construction plan review.
- Q. Lots abutting main throughfares: Subdivisions which abut or have included within the proposed area to be subdivided any limited access, or major thoroughfare shall provide:
 - 1. A marginal access thoroughfare, or
 - 2. Reverse from age with a reen planting contained in a non-access reservation along the rear property line or
 - 3. Lots with rear service drives
 - 4. Other treatment as my be necessary for adequate protection of residential properties and to affore separation of through and local traffic.

The SCDOT shall specify which us the above requirements apply to each individual case based upon adequate service to the public interest.

R. Thoroughfare types: Thoroughfares types chall be defined as follows:

Avenue (AV): A thoroughfare of high vehicular capacity and low to moderate speed, acting as a short distance connector between urban centers and usually equipped with a landscaped median.

Bicycle lane (BL): A dedicated lane for cycling within a proderore speed vehicular thoroughfare, demarcated by striping.

Bicycle route (BR): A thoroughfare suitable for the shared use of bicycles and automobiles moving at slow speeds.

Bicycle trail (BT): A bicycle way running independently of a vehicular thoroughfare.

Boulevard (BV): A thoroughfare designed for high vehicular capacity and moderate speed, traversing an urbanized area. Boulevards are usually equipped with slip roads buffering sidewalks and buildings.

Drive (DR): A thoroughfare along the boundary between an urbanized and a natural condition, usually along a waterfront, park or promontory. One side has the urban character of a thoroughfare, with sidewalk and building, while the other side has the qualities of a road or parkway, with naturalistic planting and rural details.

Park street (PS): A sub-urban, urban general or urban center thoroughfare with a wide center median (width may vary) that may serve as an open space feature.

Path (PT): A pedestrian way traversing a park or rural area, with landscape matching the contiguous open space, ideally connecting directly with the urban sidewalk network.

Rear alley (RA): A vehicular way located to the rear of lots providing access to service areas, parking, and outbuildings and containing utility easements. Rear alleys should be paved from building face to building faces or lot lines.

Rear lane (RL): A vehicular way located to the rear of lots providing access to service areas, parking, and outbuildings and containing utility easements. Rear lanes may be paved lightly to driveway standards. The streetscape consists of gravel or landscaped edges.

Road (RD): A local, rural and sub-urban thoroughfare of low-to-moderate vehicular speed and capacity. This type is allocated to more rural areas.

Slip road (SR): An outer vehicular lane or lanes of a thoroughfare designed for slow speeds while inner lanes which higher speed traffic and separated from them by a planted median. (Syn: A cess lane, service lane)

Street (ST): Sub-urban and urban thoroughfare of low speed and capacity.

Yield (Y): Characterizing a the outphare that has two-way traffic but only one effective travel lane because of parts cars, ecessitating slow movement and driver negotiation.

TABLE 4. – PRIVATE FRONTAGEŠ

The Private Frontage is the area between the building Facades and the Lot lines.

	Y.	
a.	Common Yard: A planted Frontage wherein the Facade is set back substantially from the Frontage Line. The front yard created remains unfenced and is visually continuous with adjacent yards, supporting a common landscape. The deep Setback provides a buffer from the higher speed Thoroughfares.	
b.	Porch & Fence: A planted Frontage wherein the Facade is set back from the Frontage Line with an attached porch permitted to Encroach. A fence at the Frontage Line maintains street spatial definition.	

TABLE 5. – BUILDING DISPOSITION

This table approximates the location of the structure relative to the boundaries of each individual Lot, establishing suitable basic building types.

- a. Edgeyard: Specific Types single family House, cottage, villa, estate house, urban villa. A building that occupies the center of its Lot with Setbacks on all sides. This is the least urban of types as the front yard sets it back from the Frontage, while the side yards weaken the spatial definition of the public Thoroughfare space. The front yard is intended to be visually continuous with the yards of adjacent buildings. The rear yard can be secured for privacy by fences and a well-placed Backbuilding and/or Outbuilding.
- b. Sideyard: Specific Types Charleston single house, double house, zero lot line house, twick building that occupies one side of the Lot with the Setbrack to the other side. A shallow Frontage Setback defines a more urban condition. If the adjacent building is similar with a black side call, the yard can be quite private. This type permits systematic alimatic orientation in response to the sun or the breeze. If a Sideyard House abouts a neighboring Sideyard House, the type is known as a way or double House. Energy costs, and sometimes noise, are reduced building a party wall in this Disposition.
- c. Rearyard: Specific Types Townhouse, Rowhourd Live–Work unit, loft building, Apartment House, Mixed Uta Block, Flex Building, perimeter Block. A building that occupie the full Frontage, leaving the rear of the Lot as the sole yard. his is a very urban type as the continuous Facade steadily defines the public Thoroughfare. The rear Elevations may be articulated for functional purposes. In its Residential form, this type is the Rowhouse. For its Commercial form, the rear yard can accommodate substantial parking.



TABLE 6. – BUILDING FUNCTION

This table categorizes Building Functions within Transect Zones. Parking requirements are correlated to functional intensity. For Specific Function and Use permitted By Right or by Warrant, see Table 8.

	NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT
a. RESIDENTIAL	Restricted Residential: The number of dwellings on each Lot is restricted to one within a Principal Building and one within an Accessory Building, with 2.0 parking places for each. Both dwellings shall be under single ownership. The habitable area of the Accessory Unit shall not exceed 440 sf, excluding the parking area.
b. LODGING	NOT PERMITTED
c. OFFICE	Restricted Office: The building area available for office use on each Lot is restricted to the first Story of the Principal or the Accessory Building and by the requirement of 3.0 maximum places per 1,000 square feet of net office space in addition to the parking requirement for each dwelling.
d. RETAIL	NOT PERMITTED
e. CIVIC	See Table 8
f. OTHER	See Table 8

TABLE 7. – PARKING CALCULATIONS

Each use shall meet parking requirements in tependently.

	REQUIRED PARKING (See Table 6)	HARED PATTING FACTOR
	NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT	
RESIDENTIAL	2.0/dwelling	
CIVIC	To be determined by Warrant	No shared parking is permitted, addresse shall meet parking requirements independently.
OFFICE	3.0/1,000 sq. ft.	
OTHER	To be determined by Warrant	

TABLE 8. – SPECIFIC FUNCTION & USE

Specific Function & Use. This table expands the categories of Table 6 to delegate specific Functions and uses within Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT.

Nimmer SPECIAL DISTRICT	SE
a. RESIDENTIAL	

Mixed Use Block	
Flex Building	
Apartment Building	
Live/Work Unit	
Row House	
Duplex House	
Courtyard House	
Sideyard House	
Cottage	
House	
Bungalow	
Accessory Unit	
b. LODGING	
Hoter (No room limit)	
nin tup to 2 rooms)	
Bed & Breakfast up to 5 rooms)	
Short Term Rentel	
S P those I	
School Dorm bry	
c. OFFICE	
Office Building	
Live–Work Unit	
d. RETAIL	
Open–Market Building	
Retail Building	
Display Gallery	
Restaurant	
Kiosk	
Push Cart	
Liquor Selling Establishment	
e. CIVIC	
Bus Shelter	
Convention Center	
Conference Center	
Exhibition Center	

Fountain or Public Art	
Library	
Live Theater	
Movie Theater	
Museum	
Outdoor Auditorium	
Parking Structure	
Passenger Terminal	
Playground	
Sports Stadium	
Surface Parking Lot	
Religious Assembly	
. OTHER: AGRICULTUR	
rain Storage	
Liv stock Pen	
Greenhouse	
Stable	
enzel	
. OTHER: AUTOMOTIVE	
Gaspline	
Automobile Service	人
Truck Maintenance	
Drive–Through Facility	
Rest Stop	
Roadside Stand	
Billboard	
Shopping Center	
Shopping Mall	
f. OTHER: CIVIL SUPPORT	
Fire Station	
Police Station	
Cemeterv	
Funeral Home	
Hospital	
Medical Clinic	

College High School Trade School Elementary School Other-Childcare Center g. OTHER: INDUSTRIAL Heavy Industrial Facility Light Industrial Facility	
High School Trade School Elementary School Other–Childcare Center g. OTHER: INDUSTRIAL Heavy Industrial Facility Light Industrial Facility	
Trade School Elementary School Other–Childcare Center g. OTHER: INDUSTRIAL Heavy Industrial Facility Light Industrial Facility	
Elementary School Other–Childcare Center g. OTHER: INDUSTRIAL Heavy Industrial Facility Light Industrial Facility	
Other–Childcare Center g. OTHER: INDUSTRIAL Heavy Industrial Facility Light Industrial Facility	
g. OTHER: INDUSTRIAL Heavy Industrial Facility Light Industrial Facility	
Heavy Industrial Facility Light Industrial Facility	
Light Industrial Facility	
Truck Depot	
Laboratory Facility	
Water Supply Facility	
ewer and Waste Facility	
other Substation	
Whaless ansmitter	
Cr mation Facility	
Warehouse	
Produce forage	
Mini–Stor ge	
BY RIGHT	
D BY WARRANT	

TABLE 9. – CIVIC SPACE

- a. Park: A natural preserve available for unstructured recreation. A park may be independent of surrounding building Frontages. Its landscape shall consist of Paths and trails, meadows, waterbodies, woodland and open shelters, all naturalistically disposed. Parks may be lineal, following the trajectories of natural corridors.
- **b.** Green: An Open Space, available for unstructured recreation. A Green may be statially befined by landscaping rather than building Frontages. Its landscape shall consist of lawn and trees, naturalistically disposed. The minimum size shall be ½ acre and the maximum shall be 6 acres.
- c. Square: An Open Space available for unstructived recreation and Civic purposes. A Square is spatially defined by building Frontages. Its landscape shall consist of parts diwns and trees, formally disposed. Squares shall be located of the intersection of important Thoroughfares. The minimum side shall be a cre and the maximum shall be 5 acres.
- e. Playground: An Open Space designed and equipped for the recreation of children. A playground shall be fenced and shall include an open picnic shelter. Playgrounds shall be interspersed within Residential areas and may be placed within a Block. Playgrounds may be included within parks and greens. There shall be no minimum or maximum size.





JANUARY 2024





TABLE 13. – SUSTAINABILITY

Developers are encouraged to include alternative energy production systems if their plans permit.



NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT EXHIBITS





thomasandhutton.com

NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT

EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION DEEDS BOUNDARY SURVEYS




PROPERTY DESCRIPTION OF NIMMER TRACT

405.195 Acres

All that Certain Piece, Parcel or Tract of Land, Situate, lying and being in Robert Township, Jasper County, South Carolina and being more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the northeast corner of the lands of Terry D. Murphy et al, as recorded in Plat Book 11, Page 311, of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, said northeast corner being in the west right of way of Tarboro Road, also known as County Road S-27-22, being a 66 foot right of way and having the South Carolina State Plane Coordinates, East Zone, of N233,618.70, E1,997,222.23; Thence with the north line of said Terry D. Murphy et al., South 68°25'32" West, a distance of 426.91 feet to a concrete monument found; Thence South 20°39'12" East, a distance of 33.99 feet to an iron pin found and being in the northaline of the lands of Jeffrey P. Richardson, as recorded in Plat Book 35. Page 249 of the Jasper Louisy, South Carolina Recorder's Office; Thence with said north line, North 80°00'52" West a distance of 669.04 feet to a concrete monument found and South 61°25'05" West, a distance of 7 3.55 fe t to a point, said point is witnessed by a broken iron pin found at North 09°West, a distance of 3.0 feet: thence along the west line of the lands of David G. Mills, as recorded in Plat Book 22, Page 81 of the asper County, South Carolina recorder's office, South 12°39'36" West, a distance of 73 .721 et to a point, said point is witnessed by a disturbed concrete monument found at South 60°East, a castance of 0.8 feet; Thence with the north line of "Subdivision" of the Eastern Portion of Tract 'B', a prepared for lasper County Land Development Company, Inc., as recorded at Plat Book 16, Page 388 of the page 20 County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, South 60°03' 43" West, a distance of 3023.16 fe a point in the west right of way of Nimmer Turf Road, a 24' right of way, said point is witnessed b a disturbed iron pin found at South 43°West, a distance of 2.5 feet; Thence with said west right of way, North 4 19'51" west, a distance of 219.20 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflecting to the 19 a distance of 392.34 feet, having a radius of 524.98 feet, a chord bearing of North 22°55'19" West and a chord of 383.28 feet to a point; Thence North 01°30'46" West, a distance of 609.41 feet to a point; The Net North 01°54'37" West, a distance of 589.44 feet to a point; Thence North 02°18'28" West, a stance of 95.59 feet to a point, said point is witnessed by an iron pin found at North 39°East, distance of 5.0 feet; Thence along the lands of 3N Farm Nimmer Family, as recorded in Plat Book 29, Page 359, of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, South 88°40'05" East, a distance of 265.88 feet to a point, said point is witnessed by an iron pin found at North 75°East, a distance of 3.5 feet; Thence North 00°04'36" West, a distance of 369.82 feet to a point; Thence North 89°58'37" East, a distance of 50.00 feet to a point; Thence North 03°43'06" East, a distance of 400.00 feet to a point; Thence North 89°50'36" West, a distance of 280.80 feet to a point in the aforesaid west right of way of Nimmer Turf Road; Thence North 11°17'10" East, a distance of 329.38 feet to a point; Thence South 84°32'56" East, a distance of 4.80 feet to a point; Thence North 11°36'54" East, a distance of 1681.44 feet to a point; Thence North 10°55'18" East, a distance of 1214.37 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflection to the right, a distance of 83.16 feet, having a radius of 57.51 feet, a chord bearing of North 53°13'53" East and a chord of 76.10 feet to a point in the south right of way of Nimmer Turf road, a 66 feet right of way; Thence with said south right of way, South 85°55'17" East, a distance of 1056.09 feet to a point in the west line of the lands of Helen R. Raye,

as recorded in Deed Book 333, Page 34, of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office; Thence with said west line, South 01°56'09" West, a distance of 842.67 feet to a point; Thence along the south line of said Helen R. Raye, South 87°57'14" East, a distance of 514.08 feet to a point; Thence along the east line of said Helen R. Rave, North 02°58'01" East, a distance of 827.90 feet to a point in said south right of way of Nimmer Turf Road; Thence along said south right of way of Nimmer Turf Road, South 85°54'35" East, a distance of 1392.12 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflecting to the left, a distance of 311.07 feet, having a radius of 15771.47 feet, a chord bearing of South 86°53'11" East and a chord of 311.07 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflecting to the left, a distance of 78.69 feet, having a radius of 469.31 feet, a chord bearing of North 87°44'43" East and a chord of 78.60 feet to a point in the west right of way of Tarboro Road, also known as County Road S-27-22 and being a 66 feet right of way; Thence with said west right of way, South 15°29'36" East, a distance of 982.09 feet to a point; Thence South 15°38'50" East, a distance of 142.45 feet to a concrete monument found; Thence leaving said west right of way and along the north line of the lands of Brian L. & Shannon S. Ratkovich, as recorded in Plat Book 25, Page 241 of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, South 77°02'49" West, a distance of 749.39 feet to a point: Menter with the west line of the lands of Brian L. & Shannon S. Ratkovich, South 15°35'18" East a distance of 284.79 feet to a point; Thence with the south line of the lands of Brian L. & Shanno S. Ratiovich, North 77°04'27" East, a distance of 749.03 feet to a point in aforesaid west right of way line of Taboro Road; Thence along said west right of way, South 15°43'48" East, a distance of 615, feet a point; Thence South 12°55'32" East, a distance of 141.86 feet to a point; Thence along a vive deflecting to the right, a distance of 625.52 feet, having a radius of 5022.64 feet, a chord bearing of South 09°31'57" East and a chord of 625.11 feet to a point; Thence South 06°34'33" East, a distance of 192.98 feet to the Point of Beginning. Containing 405.195 acres. Subject to all easements, righte ly and restrictions of record. W





405.195 Acres

All that Certain Piece, Parcel or Tract of Land, Situate, lying and being in Robert Township, Jasper County, South Carolina and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the northeast corner of the lands of Terry D. Murphy etal, as recorded in Plat Book 11, Page 311, of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, said northeast corner being in the west right of way of Tarboro Road, also known as County Road S-27-22, being a 66 foot right of way and having the South Carolina State Plane Coordinates, East Zone, of N233,618.70, E1,997,222.23;

Thence with the north line of said Terry D. Murphy etal, South 68°25'32" West, a distance of 426.91 feet to a concrete monument found; Thence South 20°39'12" East, a distance of 33.99 feet to an iron pin found and being in the north line of the lands of Jeffrey P. Richardson, as recorded in Plat Book 35, Page 249 of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office; Thence with said north line, North 80°00'52" West, a distance of 669.04 feet to a concrete monument found and South 61°25'05" West, a distance of 743.55 feet to a point, said point is witnessed by a broken iron pin found at North 09°West, a distance of 3.0 feet; Thence along the west line of the lands of David G. Mills, as recorded in Plat Book 22, Page 81, of the Jasper County, South Carolina recorder's office, South 12°39'36" West, a distance of 735.72 feet to a point, said point is witnessed by a disturbed concrete monument found at South 60°East, a distance of 0.8 feet; Thence with the north line of "Subdivision of the Eastern Portion of Tract 'B', as prepared for Jasper County Land Development Company, Inc., as recorded at Plat Book 16, Page 388 of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, South 60°03' 43" West, a distance of 3023.18 feet to a point in the west right of way of Nimmer Turf Road, a 24' right of way, said point is witnessed by a disturbed iron pin found at South 43°West, a distance of 2.5 feet; Thence with said west right of way, North 44°19'51" west, a distance of 219.20 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflecting to the right, a distance of 392.34 feet, having a radius of 524.98 feet, a chord bearing of North 22°55'19" West and a chord of 383.28 feet to a point; Thence North 01°30'46" West, a distance of 609.41 feet to a point; Thence North 01°54'37" West, a distance of 589.44 feet to a point; Thence North 02°18'28" West, a distance of 95.59 feet to a point, said point is witnessed by an iron pin found at North 39°East, a distance of 5.0 feet; Thence along the lands of 3N Farm Nimmer Family, as recorded in Plat Book 29, Page 359, of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, South 88°40'05" East, a distance of 265.88 feet to a point, said point is witnessed by an iron pin found at North 75°East, a distance of 3.5 feet: Thence North 00°04'36" West, a distance of 369.82 feet to a point; Thence North 89°58'37" East, a distance of 50.00 feet to a point; Thence North 03°43'06" East, a distance of 400.00 feet to a point; Thence North 89°50'36" West, a distance of 280.80 feet to a point in the aforesaid west right of way of Nimmer Turf Road; Thence North 11°17'10" East, a distance of 329.38 feet to a point; Thence South 84°32'56" East, a distance of 4.80 feet to a point; Thence North 11°36'54" East, a distance of 1681.44 feet to a point; Thence North 10°55'18" East, a distance of 1214.37 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflection to the right, a distance of 83.16 feet, having a radius of 57.51 feet, a chord bearing of North 53°13'53" East and a chord of 76.10 feet to a point in the south right of way of Nimmer Turf road, a 66 feet right of way; Thence with said south right of way, South 85°55'17" East, a distance of 1056.09 feet to a point in the west line of the lands of Helen R. Raye, as recorded in Deed Book 333, Page 34, of the Jasper Couty, South Carolina Recorder's Office; Thence with said west line, South 01°56'09" West, a distance of 842.67 feet to a point; Thence along the south line of said Helen R. Raye, South 87°57'14" East, a distance of 514.08 feet to a point; Thence along the east line of said Helen R. Raye, North 02°58'01" East, a distance of 827.90 feet to a point in said south right of way of Nimmer Turf Road; Thence along said south right of way of Nimmer Turf Road, South 85°54'35" East, a distance of 1392.12 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflecting to the left, a distance of 311.07 feet, having a radius of 15771.47 feet, a chord bearing of South 86°53'11" East and a chord of 311.07 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflecting to the left, a distance of 78.69 feet, having a radius of 469.31 feet, a chord bearing of North 87°44'43" East and a chord of 78.60 feet to a point in the west right of way of Tarboro Road, also known as County Road S-27-22 and being a 66 feet right of way; Thence with said west right of way, South 15°29'36" East, a distance of 982.09 feet to a point; Thence South 15°38'50" East, a distance of 142.45 feet to a concrete monument found; Thence leaving said west right of way and along the north line of the lands of Brian L. & Shannon S. Ratkovich, as recorded in Plat Book 25, Page 241 of the Jasper County, South Carolina Recorder's Office, South 77°02'49" West, a distance of 749.39 feet to a point; Thence with the west line of the lands of Brian L. & Shannon S. Ratkovich, South 15°35'18" East, a

F. A. NIMMER, Jr.

046-00-06-119

ROAD

TURF R/W

NIMMER 66'

F. A. NIMMER, Jr. 046-00-06-079 ===!

distance of 284.79 feet to a point; Thence with the south line of the lands of Brian L. & Shannon S. Ratkovich, North 77°04'27" East, a distance of 749.03 feet to a point in aforesaid west right of way line of Tarboro Road; Thence along said west right of way, South 15°43'48" East, a distance of 615.94 feet to a point; Thence South 12°55'32" East, a distance of 141.86 feet to a point; Thence along a curve, deflecting to the right, a distance of 625.52 feet, having a radius of 5022.64 feet, a chord bearing of South 09°31'57" East and a chord of 625.11 feet to a point; Thence South 06°34'33" East, a distance of 192.98 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Containing 405.195 acres.

Subject to all easements, rights of way and restrictions of record.

MATCH LINE

MATCH LINE





NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT EXHIBIT B CONCEPTUAL LAND USE MASTER PLAN



thomasandhutton.com

May 2024



CONCEPT LAND USE MASTER PLAN NIMMER

RIDGELAND, SOUTH CAROLINA JANUARY 2024

RESIDENTIAL	±382.0 AC
AMENITY	±22.0 AC
MUNICIPAL SITE	±1.2 AC

SECONDARY ENTRANCE (typical)

> THIS GRAPHIC ILLUSTRATES A GENERAL PLAN OF The development, does not limit or bind THE OWNER/DEVELOPER, AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND REVISION. DIMENSIONS, Boundaries, and improvements are for ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY.

THE OWNER/DEVELOPER RESERVES THE RIGHT TO ADJUST FEATURES SUCH AS BUT NOT LIMITED TO lot lines, roads, lagoons/storm water, ACTIVE OPEN SPACE ETC. WHILE MEETING THE INTENT OF THE PUD AND MASTER PLAN APPROVED BY THE JURISDICTION OF AUTHORITY.

E 💼



GRAPHIC SCALE: 1 INCH = 250 FEET

PREPARED BY:



50 PARK OF COMMERCE WAY SAVANNAH, GA 31405 • 912.234.5300 WWW.THOMASANDHUTTON.COM

THOMAS KUTTON
This map illustrates a general plan of the development which is for discussion purposes only, does not limit or bind the owner/developer, and is subject to change and revision without prior written notice to the holder. Dimensions, boundaries and position locations are for illustrative purposes only and are subject to an accurate survey and property description.

COPYRIGHT © 2023 🏹 THOMAS & HUTTON

Z:\30596\30596.0000\Engineering\Drawings\Exhibits\Initial Master Plan\2D

MAIN ENTRANCE

1.2 ACRE **MUNICIPAL SITE**

NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT EXHIBIT C **AQUATIC RESOURCES DELINEATION EXHIBIT** - 30596.0000 May 2024



thomasandhutton.com



Preliminary wetland lines are r surveying and U.S. Army Cor recommends that no land c USACE of	of final and are subject to change following best of Engineers (USACE) verification. SECI istrubance activities take place until final	
	Wetland Project Boundary	
Prepared By: sligh environmental consultants, inc. 31 Park of Commerce Way, Suite 2008 Savannah, Georgia 31405 phone (912) 232-0451 fax (912) 232-0453	Wetland Field Sketch Nimmer Sod Farm Jasper County, South Carolina	0 300 600 Feet Scale: 1 inch = 600 Feet Exhibit Date: July 11, 2022 Drawn By: DJP Reviewed By: BWW Job Number : 01-12-077

NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT **EXHIBIT D INITIAL TRAFFIC ANALYSIS** 30596.0000 May 2024





NIMMER TRACT GELAND, SOUTH CAROLINA

> Prepared for: D.R. HORTON

J - 30596.0000

JANUARY 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	Page 1
2. Existing Conditions	Page 1
3. Historical Volumes	Page 2
4. Trip Generation	Page 3
5. Trip Distribution	Page 3
6. Future (No-Build / Build Out) Conditions	Page 4
7. Future (No-Build / Build Out) Conditions with Improvements	Page 6
8. Summary / Conclusions	Page 8

FIGURES

Project Location Map	Figure 1
Sketch Plan	Figure 2
2023 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volume	Figure 3
2035 No-Build Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	Figure 4
2035 Site Trip Distribution	Figure 5
2035 Site Generated Trips	Figure 6
2035 Build Out Peak Hour Volumes	Figure 7
2023 Existing Lane Geometry	Figure 8A
2035 Build Out Lane Geometry	Figure 8B
	•
APPENDICES	

APPENDICES

Existing Traffic Counts	
Synchro HCM 6 Analysis, 2023 Existing Peak Hour Volumes	Appendix B
SCDOT Count Station Data Volumes	Appendix C
Trip Generation Calculations	Appendix D
Synchro HCM 6 Analysis, 2035 No-Build Peak Hour Volumes	Appendix E
Synchro HCM 6 Analysis, 2035 Build Out Peak Hour Volumes	Appendix F
Synchro HCM 6 Analysis, 2035 Build Out Peak Hour Volumes with Improven	nentsAppendix G
Auxiliary Turn Lane Analysis	Appendix H
Signal Warrant Analysis	Appendix I

JANUARY 2024

1. INTRODUCTION

The Nimmer Tract site proposes a residential development and a fire station. The site is located between Tarboro Road (SC 27-22), Tillman Road (SC 336), and Nimmer Turf Road, the site is west of Interstate 95 in Ridgeland, South Carolina (**Figure 1**). The proposed development currently includes 1,150 single-family detached houses, 150 townhomes, and a 10,000 SF fire station. The residential site proposes 3 access points, two are located on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) and one is located on Nimmer Turf Road, the access to the fire station is located on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22). A Conceptual site plan is shown in **Figure 2**.

2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

Roadway Conditions

Tarboro Road (SC 2712) is a north-south 2-lane roadway with no posted speed limit; however a 55-mph peed limit is assumed for the roadway as it is a state road.

Tillman Road (5, 336) is generally east-west, two-lane roadway with a 55-mph posted speed limit.

Nimmer Turf Road is two-land badwey that is an east-west roadway that is located north of the site and has a 90-degree pure that transforms the road to a north-south roadway located to the east of the site. Nimmer Turf has no posted speed limit.

The study intersections for the traffic impact or ysis are the following:

- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tiller (SC 336)
- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Nimmer N Road
- Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf load
- Proposed access points:
 - Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access)
 - Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Acces
 - Nimmer Turf Road & Access 3
 - Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Fire Station Access

Traffic Conditions

Traffic operations at intersections are typically evaluated in verms of "Level of Service" or LOS. The LOS is defined by the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) from which LOS A represents free flow conditions with minimal delays; LOS F represents congested conditions. Generally, an LOS D or better is considered acceptable.

Table 1 shows the HCM criteria for both signalized and unsignalized intersections.

LEVEL OF	Control Delay per Vehicle (seconds)						
SERVICE	Unsignalized & Roundabouts	Signalized					
А	<u><</u> 10	<u><</u> 10					
В	>10 and <u><</u> 15	>10 and <u><</u> 20					
С	>15 and <u><</u> 25	>20 and <u><</u> 35					
D	>25 and <u><</u> 35	>35 and <u><</u> 55					
E	>35 and <u><</u> 50	>55 and <u><</u> 80					
F	>50	>80					

Table 1. Level of Service definitions

JANUARY 2024

Morning and afternoon peak hour turning movement counts were collected at the following intersections in November 2023:

- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336)
- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Nimmer Turf Road
- Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf Road

A 24 hour count was collected near the site access point on the roadway of Tarboro Road (SC 27-22), and an additional count was collected east of the site on Tillman Road (SC 336).

AM and PM peak hour volumes are shown in **Figure 3**. Traffic count data is included in Appendix A.

Capacity analyses were completed based on the counts using Trafficware's Synchro software. Results are shown in Table 2 and included in Appendix B.

	201			1	
		2023 AM	Peak Hour	2023 PM F	Peak Hour
Intersection	Control	LOS	DELAY (sec)	LOS	DELAY (sec)
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Cad	Minor				
EB approach lefts (Tillman Raita)	5100	A	7.4	A	7.6
WB approach lefts (Tillman Ro d))		Α	0	Α	7.4
NB approach (Tarboro Read)		В	11.5	В	10.8
SB approach (Tarboro Road)		В	11.8	В	10.5
Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf Road	Min Stor				
EB approach lefts (Tillman Road)			7.4	A	0
SB approach (Nummer Turf Road)			10.2	A	9.6
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Nimmer Turf Road	Minor Stop	•			
EB approach (Nimmer Turf Road)		A	9.8	A	9.2
NB approach lefts (Tarboro Road)		А	70	A	0

Table 2. Current Levels of ervice (2023)

The study intersections operate at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours.

3. HISTORICAL VOLUMES

South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) count stations are located on Tillman Road (SC 336) to the west of the project location and Smiths Crossing (SC 27-29) to the southwest. The SCDOT Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) data from the count stations is shown in Table 3; the data is included in Appendix C.

J-30596.0000

JANUARY 2024

Count Station	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
#027-0191										
Tillman Road	1,800	1,900	1,950	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,200	2,000	2,000	2,100
(SC 336)										
#027-0242										
Smiths Crossing	1,100	1,050	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,900	1,750	1,250	1,300
(SC 27-29)										

Table 3. GDOT Count Station Data

South Carolina Department of Transportation has count stations located near the project site. The calculated growth rate based on an average of the last 10 years of daily traffic data is 1.3%, and twelve years of growth at 1.3% per year is added to the existing traffic volumes to calculate 2035 No-Build volumes.

4. TRIP GENERATION

Trips generated use estimated using the standard rates and equations from the Institute of Transportation Engineers, <u>Trip Generation</u>, 11th Edition, 2021. Trip generation is shown in Table 4, and the calculations and track trip percentages are included in Appendix D.

ITE	Land lise		Daily	AM	Peak	PM F	°eak
Category	Lana use		Dully	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
210	Single-Family Detached H 1,150 Dwelling Units	lousing	9 644	172	516	622	365
215	Single-Family Attached Housing 150 Dwelling Units		1,093		54	51	35
575	on rea	48	4	1	1	4	
	Totals		10,685	194	45 71	674	404

Table 4. Trip Generation

5. TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The primary site trip distribution patterns are assumed to split in accordance with the directional patterns observed in the recent counts and the site layout and surrounding road network. The trip distribution and assignment is shown in **Figure 5**. For this study, the general distribution assumptions are as follows:

- 25% to/from the West on Tillman Road (SC 336)
- 5% to/from the North on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22)
- 45% to/from the South on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22)
- 25% to/from the East on Tillman Road (SC 336)



6. FUTURE (NO-BUILD/BUILD OUT) CONDITIONS

The site generated volumes (**Figure 6**) are added to the No-Build volumes to determine the 2035 morning and afternoon Build out peak hour volumes (**Figure 7**). Table 5 shows the intersection levels of service with and without the proposed development. Synchro reports are included in Appendix E for the 2035 no-build condition and Appendix F for the 2035 build out condition.

	Control	2035 AM	Peak Hour	2035 PM Peak Hour		
Intersection		No-Build (LOS/DELAY)	Build Out (LOS/DELAY)	No-Build (LOS/DELAY)	Build Out (LOS/DELAY)	
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) &	Minor					
Tillman Road (SC 336)	Stop					
EB approach lefts (Tillman Rodd)		A / 7.4	A / 7.6	A / 7.7	A / 8.4	
WB approach lefts (Tillman Pada)		A / 0	A / 0	A / 7.4	A / 7.5	
NB approach (Tarbor Road)		B / 12.1	D / 27	B/11.3	F / 144.3	
SB approach (Tark (ro Road)		B / 12.8	F / 279.1	B / 10.9	F / >300	
Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer off Road	Minc St p					
EB approach lefts (Tillman Road)	Λ	A / 7.4	A / 7.6	A / 0	A / 8.1	
SB approach (Nummer Turf Road)		B / 10.5	B / 11.9	A / 9.9	B / 14.1	
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Nimmer Turf Road	Minor Stop					
EB approach (Nimmer Turf Road)		B, V/	B / 10.8	A / 9.3	B / 10.0	
NB approach lefts (Tarboro Road)		A / .6	<u>A</u> /7.6	A / 0	A / 0	
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 1	Minor		\wedge			
EB approach (Access 1)	0.00	_	By 13.2	-	B / 12.2	
NB approach lefts (Tarboro Road)		-	A / 8.1	-	A / 8.5	
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 2	Minor Stop					
EB approach (Access 1)		-	B / 10.	-	A / 9.9	
NB approach lefts (Tarboro Road)		-	A / 7.6	-	A / 7.8	
Nimmer Turf Road & Access 3	Minor Stop					
WB approach (Access 3)		-	A / 9.5	-	A / 9.9	
SB approach lefts (Nimmer Turf Road)		-	A / 7.3	-	A / 7.6	
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Fire Station Access	Minor Stop					
EB approach (Fire Station Access)		-	B/11.9	-	B / 10.6	
NB approach lefts (Tarboro Road)		-	A / 8.6	-	A / 8.1	

Table 5. Future Levels of Service (2035)

JANUARY 2024

The study intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels of service during the 2035 no-build. During the 2035 build out conditions, at the intersection of Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336), the southbound approach will experience LOS F in the AM and PM peak hour and the northbound approach will experience LOS F in the PM peak hour.

Auxiliary Turn Lane Analysis

The Warrants for Left and Right Turn Lanes were consulted using the SCDOT Roadway Design Manual. The following study intersections were analyzed:

- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Nimmer Turf Road
- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336)
- Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf Road
- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 1
- Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 2
- Nimmer Turf Rand & Access 3
- Tarboro Roc (SQ 27-22) & Fire Station Access

Tarboro Road (C 27-22) Nimmer Turf Road does not meet the minimum thresholds for a southbound right um late or for a northbound left turn lane.

Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & aman Road (SC 336) meets for a right turn lane at the westbound approach and a lengur line at the eastbound approach.

Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf Road meets for a left turn lane at the eastbound approach, the minimum thresholds are not methor westbound right.

Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 1 here's for a left turn lane on the northbound approach, the minimum threshold is not met or the southbound right turn lane.

Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 2 meets for left un lane on the northbound approach, the minimum threshold is not met for the southbound right turn lane.

Nimmer Turf Road & Access 3 meets for a right turn lane on the non-bound approach, the minimum threshold is not met for the southbound left turn lane. Turfic along Nimmer turf is not significant enough to require a turn lane, the intersection will operate at an acceptable LOS.

Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Fire Station Access does not meet the minimum thresholds for a left turn lane on the northbound approach or for the southbound right turn lane.

The auxiliary turn lane analysis is shown in Appendix G.

Signal Warrant Analyses

Signal Warrants have been reviewed for Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336) in the 2035 build out condition. The results are summarized in the table below:

THOMAS & HUTTON

JANUARY 2024

Table 6: Signal Warrant Results

	Warrant	*8-hr V	/arrant	4-hr Warrant		
	wanam	100 % Vols	70 % Vols	100 % Vols	70 % Vols	
Intersection						
Tarboro Road (SC						
27-22) & Tillman		Ν	Y	N	Y	
Road (SC 336)						

*Counts were collected from 6-9AM & 2-6PM.

The 70% volume warrant is applicable due to the speed limit on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22).

Signal Warrants have been reviewed for Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336) and are met in the 2035 build out condition. Additional data will need to be collected once the buildings are occupied to confirm the 8-hour warrant is met. At Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Roma (SC 336), the northbound and southbound approaches are used for the major street opproad due to higher ADT generated from the site traffic. The signal warrant analysis includes in Appendix H.

The signal warrant is met where sing a one-lane major approach and one-lane minor approach, this is the only scenario where warrants are met. If signals are used the auxiliary turn lanes should not be included unless queuing and blocking is an issue.

7. FUTURE (NO-BUILD/BUILD OUT) CONDITIONS WITH PROVEMENTS

Various roadway and traffic control modifies ons are modelled to mitigate unacceptable levels of service in the 2035 build out condition. Table 7 presents a summary of the study intersections with improved levels of service. Table 7 presents a summary of alternate XXXX for the intersection of Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tilk an Road (SC 336). Synchro reports are included in Appendix G for the 2035 build out with improvements condition.

2035		2035 AM	Peak Hour	2035 PM Peak Hour		
Intersection	Control	Build Out (LOS/DELAY)	Build Out x Improvements (LOS/DELAY)	Build Out (LOS/DELAY)	Build Out w/ Improvements (LOS/DELAY)	
Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf Road	Minor Stop					
EB approach lefts (Tillman Road)		A / 7.6	A / 7.6	A / 8.1	A / 8.1	
SB approach (Nummer Turf Road)		B / 11.9	B/11.9	B / 14.1	B / 14.0	
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 1	Minor Stop					
EB approach (Access 1)		B / 13.2	B / 13.2	B / 12.2	B / 12.1	
NB approach lefts (Tarboro Road)		A / 8.1	A / 8.1	A / 8.5	A / 8.5	
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 2	Minor Stop					
EB approach (Access 1)		B / 10.0	B / 10.0	A / 9.9	A / 9.9	
NB approach lefts (Tarboro Road)		A / 7.6	A / 7.6	A / 7.8	A / 7.8	

Table 7. Future Levels of Service with Improvements (2035)



JANUARY 2024

The study intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels of service during the 2035 build out condition with the recommended improvements installed.

Intersection		AM Peak H	lour	PM Peak Hour					
	Future Build Out LOS/Delay	Future Imp L	e Build Out provement: OS/Delay	Future Build Out LOS/Delay	Future Build Out w/ Improvements LOS/Delay				
Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336)	. Ninor Stop	Minor Stop w/ Turn Lanes	Traffic Signal	Roundabout	Minor Stop	Minor Stop w/ Turn Lanes	Traffic Signal	Roundabou†	
EB approach (Tillman Road)	A .6 (left)	A / 7.6 (lefts)	B/16.1	B / 10.3	A / 8.4 (lefts)	A / 8.4 (lefts)	A / 8.8	A / 6.3	
WB approach (Tillman Road)	A / 0 (lefts)	A / 0 (lefts)	B/13.4	A / 4.7	A / 7.5 (lefts)	A / 7.5 (lefts)	B / 10.1	A / 9.7	
NB approach (Tarboro Road)	D / 27	C A ?	A / 6.7	A / 6.1	F/144.3	F/134.5	A / 9.8	A / 8.0	
SB approach (Tarboro Road)	F / 279.1	F , 249.8	B/11.4	A / 8.9	F / >300	F />300	A / 9.8	A / 7.0	
Overall Intersection	-		B / 12.3	A / 8.5	-	-	A / 9.7	A / 7.8	

Tabla 9	Tarboro Poad	(c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	& Tillman Poad	150 224	Euturo Improvomente /	20251
		$(3 \subset Z/-ZZ)$	a minun kouu j	30 330		2000

The intersection of Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) or man Road (SC 336) is projected to operate at acceptable levels of service during the 2.35 build out condition with the use of the traffic signal or the roundabout improvements. The intersection does not operate at acceptable levels of service for the minor step with turpenes improvement.



∐ THOMAS & HUTTON

8. SUMMARY / CONCLUSIONS

The Nimmer Tract site proposes a residential development and a fire station. The site is located between Tarboro Road (SC 27-22), Tillman Road (SC 336), and Nimmer Turf Road, the site is west of Interstate 95 in Ridgeland, South Carolina. The proposed development currently includes 1,150 single-family detached houses, 150 townhomes, and a 10,000 SF fire station. The residential site proposes 3 access points, two are located on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) and one is located on Nimmer Turf Road, the access to the fire station is located on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22).

As a result of the highway capacity and auxiliary turn lane warrants studied in this report, no mitigation is required at the intersections of Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Nimmer Turf Road and Nimmer Turf Road & Access 3.

Based upon auxiliary purn lane requirements, mitigation is recommended as discussed below:

Install 150 ft sft turn loves on Tarboro Road (SC 27-22), northbound, at the approaches to Access 1 at 144 cess 2.

Install a 150 ft left turn land in Tillham Road (SC 336), eastbound, at the approach to Nimmer Turf Road.

At the Intersection of Tillman (bad (SC 336) & Tarboro Road (SC 27-22), traffic signal warrants are met in the build our scenario. A condabout is an alternate recommendation to mediate the site generated traffic: be even right of way constraints and the inability of the developer to acquire additional right of way make this alternative unfeasible.

The study intersections are projected to operate at an equate levels of service during the 2035 Build Out condition with the recommended incluvements installed.



THOMAS & HUTTON



This map was created using geothinQ | www.geothinQ.com | Mapping Smart Land Decisions





PDD MASTER PLAN NIMMER

RIDGELAND, SOUTH CAROLINA DECEMBER 2023

FIGURE 2 - SITE PLAN



THIS GRAPHIC ILLUSTRATES A GENERAL PLAN OF THE DEVELOPMENT, DOES NOT LIMIT OR BIND THE OWNER/DEVELOPER, AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND REVISION. DIMENSIONS, BOUNDARIES, AND IMPROVEMENTS ARE FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY.

THE OWNER/DEVELOPER RESERVES THE RIGHT TO ADJUST FEATURES SUCH AS BUT NOT LIMITED TO LOT LINES, ROADS, LAGOONS/STORM WATER, ACTIVE OPEN SPACE ETC. WHILE MEETING THE INTENT OF THE PUD AND MASTER PLAN APPROVED BY THE JURISDICTION OF AUTHORITY.





ALE: 1 INCH = 250

PREPARED BY:



50 PARK OF COMMERCE WAY SAVANNAH, GA 31405 • 912.234.5300 WWW.THOMASANDHUTTON.COM

nap illustrates a general plan of the development which is fo COPYRIGHT © 2023

MAIN ENTRANCE

TARBORO RD

1.2 AC FIRE **STATION SITE**



















APPENDIX A

EXISTING TRAFFIC COUNTS

J - 30596.0000

January 2024

thomasandhutton.com



	Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - Heavy Vehicles - Buses																
		Tarbo	ro Rd				-		Tarboro Rd Nimmer Turf Rd								
		South	bound			Westb	ound			North	bound		Eastbound				
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Per'	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Int. Total
07:00	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
07:15	0	19	1	S 0	2	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	29
07:30	0	15	0			0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
07:45	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	1	0	0	0	73
Total	0	84	1	0	0	2		0	1	80	0	0	1	0	1	0	168
00.00	0		0		0					45	•			•	0	0	50
08:00	0	34	0	0	0	0		0	0	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	50
00.15	0	4	0	0	0 •	0		0		5	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
00.30	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1/
 Total	0	54	0	0	0		0	0		33	0	0	3	0	0	0	90
Total	U	54	0	01	U	0		U		00	0	0	0	U	0	0	50
14:00	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
14:15	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	8	0		0	0	1	0	1	0	43
14:30	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
14:45	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Total	0	74	1	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	1	0	1	0	138
15.00	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	0	20
15.00	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	0		9	0		. 3	0	0	0	20
15:15	0	14	2	0	0	0	0	0		8	0		0	0	0	0	10
15:45	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0			0	0	0	20
Total	0	47	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	5	0	3	0	1	0	92
- Otda	Ũ		U	0	Ũ	Ũ	Ũ	Ŭ	Ŭ	00		01	Ũ	Ũ		Ũ	02
16:00	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
16:15	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	25
16:30	0	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
16:45	0	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	26
Total	0	58	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	45	0	0	2	0	1	0	109
17:00	0	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	0	0	25
17.00	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0		10	0	0	2	0	0	0	21
17.13	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	0		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
17:45	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Total	0	50	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	0	0	3	0	0	0	128
	-			- 1					-			- 1					
Grand Total	0	367	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	330	0	0	13	0	4	0	725
Apprch %	0	97.6	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	99.4	0	0	76.5	0	23.5	0	
Total %	0	50.6	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	45.5	0	0	1.8	0	0.6	0	
Passenger Vehicles	0	364	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	326	0	0	7	0	4	0	708
% Passenger Vehicles	0	99.2	55.6	0	0	0	0	0	100	98.8	0	0	53.8	0	100	0	97.7
Heavy Vehicles	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	17
% Heavy Vehicles	0	0.8	44.4	0	0	0	0	0		1.2	0	0	46.2	0	0	0	2.3
Buses	0	U	U	0	U	0	0	0		U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses	U	U	U	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	0	0	U	U	0	0	0











Sutt Time Left Thru Right Peds Are to the Left Thru Right Peds Are to the Right Peds Are	Tarboro Rd Nimmer Turf Rd	Tarboro Rd Nimm							arboro	Т		
Start Unde Lett Into Lett	Northbound Eastbound		und	Vestbou	<u> </u>	1.04		und	outhbo	S	1 - 4	Otaut Times
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 14:00 14:05 0 7 0 0 7 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	t INFU RIGNT PEOS App. Total LEIT INFU RIGNT PEOS App. Total INt. Total	App. Total	Peas	Right	Inru I		App. Total	Peas to 15:45	14.00 f	From	<u>Len</u>	<u>Start Time</u> Peak Hour Ai
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						0	s at 14:0	Begins	section	e Inters	or Entire	Peak Hour fo
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 17 0 0 17 0 0 0 0 0 24	0	0	0	0		7	٥	0	7	0	14:00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22 0 0 22 1 0 1 0 2 43	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	19	0	14:15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 12 0 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 50	0	0	0	P	0	38	0	0	38	0	14:30
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Total Voltice} & 0 & 0 & 77 & 1.3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0		0		0		0	1	10	0	14:45
$\frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{100} = $		0	0	0				0	1.3	98.7	0	% App Total
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 .693 .000 .000 .693 .250 .000 .250 .000 .250 .690	.000	000	.000	.000	.000	.493	.000	.250	.487	.000	PHF
**. Transmy Wateles ** Tr	0 60 0 0 60 1 0 1 0 2 136	0			0	0	74	0	1	73	0	Passenger Vehicles
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0		\frown	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	% Passenger Vehicles
Buses 0 <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>Ő</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>0</td> <td>% Heavy Vehicles</td>		0		Ő		0	1.3	0	0	1.4	0	% Heavy Vehicles
% Buses 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Õ	0	Ő	Ō	0	0	Õ	Õ	0	Ő	Buses
Image: state of the state o	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0	ç	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	% Buses
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} \end{array} $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}	73 1 0 74 Thru L 4 60 1 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	Peak Peak Peak Peak Passe Heavy Buses Comparison Peak Passe Heavy Comparison Peak Passe Heavy Buses	F				0 0 0 m	Nimmer Turf Rd Out In Total			



		Та	arboro	Rd							Tarboro Rd					Nimmer Turf Rd					
		Sc	outhbo	und			V	/estbo	und			No	orthbou	und			E	astbou	nd		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Beains	at 17:0	0															
17:00	0	14	1	0	15		0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	18	2	0	0	0	2	35
17:15	0	12	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	18	1	0	0	0	1	31
17:30		15	1	0	16	0		0	0	0	0	17 20	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	33
Total Volume	0	50	2	0		0	6	0	0	0	0	73	0	0	73	3	0	0	0	3	128
% App. Total	0	96.2	3.8	0		, i	0	0	0	-	0	100	0	0		100	0	0	0	-	
PHF	.000	.833	.500	.000	.813	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.913	.000	.000	.913	.375	.000	.000	.000	.375	.914
% Passenger Vehicles				0	0.		0	K		Ĵ	Ū		Ū			·		Ū	0		
Heavy Vehicles	0	0	1	0	1	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	4
% Heavy Vehicles	0	0	50.0	0	1.9	0		0		0	0	1.4	0	0	1.4	66.7	0	0	0	66.7	3.1
Buses % Buses		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 Du303	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	Ľ	Ŭ	0		0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U
			Nimmer Turf Rd Out In Total	2 0 2		0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 Dede Divit Thru 1 64			Peak Peak Peak Buses	50 0 0 50 50 Thru L K HOL Morth Hour Begi vehicles	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	124 4 0 128 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Right Thru Left Peds							
									Left 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Thru F 72 1 0 73	2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	eds 0 0 0 122 1 0 123 tal									



	Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - Heavy Vehicles - Buses														1		
	1	Nimmer South	Turf Rd			Tillma	in Rd			North	hound						
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Len	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Int Total
07.00	0	0	1		0	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	27	0	0	41
07:00	2	0	0		0 0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	33	0	Ő	50
07:30	2	Ő	Õ	ů		13	3	Ő	Ö	õ	õ	õ	3	33	õ	Ő	54
07:45	1	Õ	Õ		0	16	1	Õ	0	Ő	Ő	0	0	40	Ő	0	58
Total	5	0	1	0	0	51		0	0	0	0	0	6	133	0	0	203
08:00	1	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	26	0	0	55
08:15	0	0	0	0	0	11		0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	30
08:30	0	0	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	0	0	33
08:45	1	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	30
Total	2	0	1	0	0	65	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	77	0	0	148
								$\boldsymbol{<}$	1								
14:00	0	0	0	0	0	17	1	0	0	9	0	0	1	14	0	0	33
14:15	0	0	1	0	0	22	0		0		0	0	1	22	0	0	47
14:30	4	0	1	0	0	33	1		0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	60
14:45	1	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0		0	0	1	14	0	0	38
Total	5	0	2	0	0	94	2	1			0	0	3	71	0	0	178
15:00	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0		0	10	0	0	37
15:15	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0		0	15	0	0	42
15:30	1	0	0	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	43
15:45	0	0	1	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	• 0	12	0	0	31
Total	1	0	1	0	0	96	2	0	0	0		0	0	53	0	0	153
16:00	1	0	1	0	0	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	51
16:15	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	49
16:30	4	0	1	0	0	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	62
16:45	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	39
Total	5	0	2	0	0	114	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	0	0	201
17:00	2	0	3	0	0	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	40
17:15	3	0	0	0	0	29	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	55
17:30	2	0	1	0	0	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	52
<u> </u>	4	0	0	0	0	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	59
Iotai	11	0	4	0	0	117	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	0	206
Grand Total	29	0	11	0	0	537	25	1	0	0	0	0	11	475	0	0	1089
Apprch %	72.5	0	27.5	0	0	95.4	4.4	0.2	0	0	0	0	2.3	97.7	0	0	
Total %	2.7	0	1	0	0	49.3	2.3	0.1	0	0	0	0	1	43.6	0	0	
Passenger Vehicles	27	0	9	0	0	517	20	1	0	0	0	0	11	459	0	0	1044
% Passenger Vehicles	93.1	0	81.8	0	0	96.3	80	100	0	0	0	0	100	96.6	0	0	95.9
Heavy Vehicles	2	0	2	0	0	17	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	39
% Heavy Vehicles	6.9	0	18.2	0	0	3.2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.7	0	0	3.6
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	6
% Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0.6






> File Name : Tillman Rd @ Nimmer Turf Rd Site Code : Start Date : 12/05/2023 Page No : 3





> File Name : Tillman Rd @ Nimmer Turf Rd Site Code : Start Date : 12/05/2023 Page No : 4

		Nim	mer Ti	urf Rd			Т	ïllman	Rd								Ţ	illman	Rd		
Start Time	۱۵ft	Thru	DUINDO Right	UND Pode	Ann. Total	۱۵ft	V	Pight	UND Pede	Ann. Total	l oft	NO	Pight	IND Pode	Ann. Total	l oft	Thru	- ASIDOL Right	INC Pode	Ann Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Ar	nalysis	From 1	14:00 t	0 15:45	- Peak	1 of 1	THIU	Tugitt	1 603	App. Total	Lon	TINU	Tagin	i cus	App. Total	Lon	THIU	Ngn	i eus	App. Total	int. Total
Peak Hour for	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	at 14:1	5									- 1						
14:15	0	0	1	0	1		22	0	1	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	22	0	0	23	47
14.30 14:45	4 1	0	0	0	5			0	0	34 22	0	0	0	0	0	1	21 14	0	0	21 15	38
15:00	0 0	Ő	Ő	Ő		0		Ő	Ő	27	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ö	10	Ő	Ő	10	37
Total Volume	5	0	2	0		0	4	1	1	106	0	0	0	0	0	2	67	0	0	69	182
% App. Total PHF	<u>71.4</u> 313	000	28.6 500	000	350		38.1 788	0.9 250	0.9	779	000	000	000	000	000	2.9	97.1 761	000	000	750	758
Passenger Vehicles	5	0	2	0	7	0	103			105	0	0	0	0	0	2	65	0	0	67	179
% Passenger Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	04	А			0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
Meavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 4	õ		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	29	11
Buses	Ő	Õ	Ő	Õ	Ő	Ő	1	0	0	1	Ő	Ő	Õ	Õ	Ő	Õ	0	Õ	Ő	0	1
% Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	q	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
			Out In Total	105 67 172 0 2 2 100 1 175					Peak Peak Passe Heavy Buses	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ins at 14	tal 10 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Right Thru Left Peds			Out In Iotal 70 105 175 2 0 2	Tillman Rd			
												0 0 0 0 0 0 0 tal									



> File Name : Tillman Rd @ Nimmer Turf Rd Site Code : Start Date : 12/05/2023 Page No : 5

		Nim	mer Ti	urf Rd			Т	illman	Rd			Nic	orthhou	und			T	illman	Rd		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	l eft	Thru	Right	Peds	Ann Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int Total
Peak Hour Ar	alysis	From	16:00 t	0 17:45	- Peak	1 of 1				ripp: rotai			<u>-</u>		Total					ripp. rotai	
Peak Hour for	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	at 17:00)						-									
17:00	2	0	3	0	5		24	3	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	40
17:15	3	0	1	0	3		×9	2	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	21 16	52 52
17:45	4	Ő	0	Ő		0		3	0	35	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	Ő	20	0	Ő	20	59
Total Volume	11	0	4	0	5	0		9	0	126	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	0	65	206
% App. Total	73.3	0	26.7	0	750		<u>92.9</u>	7.1	0	000	0	0	0	0	000	0	100	0	0	774	072
Passenger Vehicles	.000	000.	.333	000	.750	000	113	.750		121	000.	000.	000.	000.	000.	000.	.//4 61	000.	000.	61	196
% Passenger Vehicles							-	X													
Heavy Vehicles	0	0	1	0	1	0	3		0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	8
% Heavy Vehicles	0	0	25.0	0	6.7	0	2.	11.1	0	3.2 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.6 1	0	0	4.6	3.9
% Buses	Ő	Ő	0	Ő	ő	Ő	0.9	d	0	0.8	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	0	1.5	0	Ő	1.5	1.0
			Tillman Rd Out In Total	116 61 177 4 3 7 121 65 186		0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 65 0 0 Dioth Thru - 04			Out Out Out Out Out Out Out Out	0 0 0 Thru 1 K HOL Hour Beg genger Veh y Vehicles	11 11 0 11 eft P ur D ins at 17 icles	tal 22 2 0 24 0 24 0 eds 7:00		Right Thru Left Pe			$ \begin{array}{c c} \text{Out} & \text{In} & \text{Iota} \\ \hline 72 & 121 & 19 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{array} $	Tillman Rd			
							-				Right P 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	eds 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		S				_			



File Name	: Tillman Rd @ Tarboro Rd
Site Code	:
Start Date	: 12/05/2023
Page No	: 1

				G	oroups Pr	inted- F	assenge	er Vehic	les - Hea	avy Veh	icles - Bi	uses					
		Tarbo	ro Rd			Tillma	ın Rd			Tarbo	ro Rd			Tillma	ın Rd		
		South	ound			Westb	ound			North	ound			Eastb	ound		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Per	Len	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Int. Total
07:00	2	15	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	29	0	0	66
07:15	4	13	2	S 0	\$	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	37	0	0	74
07:30	1	14	1			10	0	0	0	10	0	0	14	26	0	0	76
07:45	8	15	12	0	0	8	0	0	0	15	0	0	16	32	0	0	106
Total	15	57	15	0	0	4,		0	0	32	0	0	35	124	0	0	322
08:00	8	14	11	0	0	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	22	0	0	80
08:15	2	3	1	0	0	15		0	0	3	1	0	0	20	0	0	45
08:30	2	5	0	0	0	12	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	21	0	0	45
08:45	3	2	2	0	0	11	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	17	0	0	39
Total	15	24	14	0	0	56	1	0		10	2	0	7	80	0	0	209
								\checkmark									
14:00	1	4	3	0	0	18	0	0	0	9	0	0	4	14	0	0	53
14:15	10	7	2	0	0	22	0	5	0		0	0	6	18	0	0	77
14:30	19	8	11	0	0	25	0		0	3	0	0	3	24	0	0	93
14:45	2	4	4	0	0	17	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	14	0	0	49
Total	32	23	20	0	0	82	0	0		3	0	0	15	70	0	0	272
15:00	4	4	3	0	0	27	0	0	1	2	1		2	9	1	0	54
15:15	4	5	6	0	0	27	0	0	0	3	2		4	13	0	0	64
15:30	4	7	1	0	1	28	0	0	0	8	1	0	1	17	0	0	68
15:45	5	1	6	0	0	18	0	0	0	6	0	0	• 3	13	0	0	52
Total	17	17	16	0	1	100	0	0	1	19		0	10	52	1	0	238
16·00	5	5	2	0	1	25	0	0	1	5	0	0	4	21	0	0	69
16.15	7	6	3	Ő	1	31	Õ	Õ	0	2	1	Ő	2	17	Õ	Ő	70
16:30	2	8	7	0	2	32	0	Õ	0	6	1	0	4	25	Ő	Ő	87
16:45	6	8	0	0	1	22	0	Ő	0	6	0	0	1	16	Õ	0	60
Total	20	27	12	0	5	110	0	0	1	19	2	0	11	79	0	0	286
17.00	5	4	6	0	0	31	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	11	0	0	68
17:00	7	3	2	0	Õ	34	0	Ő	0	12	Ő	Ő	2	24	Õ	Õ	84
17:10	4	6	5	ő	1	31	0	0	0	8	1	0	1	16	0	0	73
17:45	3	5	3	ő	1	33	0	Ő	Ő	9	0	ő	4	24	Ő	õ	82
Total	19	18	16	0	2	129	0	0	1	39	1	0	7	75	0	0	307
Grand Total	118	166	93	0	8	521	1	0	3	149	9	0	85	480	1	0	1634
Apprch %	31.3	44	24.7	0	1.5	98.3	0.2	0	1.9	92.5	5.6	0	15	84.8	0.2	0	
Total %	7.2	10.2	5.7	0	0.5	31.9	0.1	0	0.2	9.1	0.6	0	5.2	29.4	0.1	0	
Passenger Vehicles	116	166 100	92 08 0	0	7 875	498 95 6	0	0	3 100	148	9 100	0	84 98 8	464 96 7	1 100	0	1588
% Passenger Vehicles	<u>ອວ.ວ</u>	100	90.9	0	07.0	90.0	1	0	100	39.3	0	0	90.0	10	100	0	31.Z
	17	0	11	0	12 5	10 2 F	100	0		07	0	0	10	12	0	0	3/
70 Heavy venicles	1./	0	<u> </u>	0	12.5	<u>3.3</u> F	100	0	0	0.7	0	0	1.2	<u> </u>	0	0	2.3
% Buses	0	0	0	0	0	5 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 0.8	0	0	9 0.6







> File Name : Tillman Rd @ Tarboro Rd Site Code : Start Date : 12/05/2023 Page No : 3

		Ta	arboro	Rd			T	illman /estboi	Rd			Ta	arboro	Rd			T	illman astboi	Rd		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Ar	nalysis	From ()7:00 t	0 08:45	5 - Peak	1 of															
Peak Hour for	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	at 07:1	5															
07:15	4	13	2	Ŭ0	19	0	4	0	0	14	0	2	0	0	2	2	37	0	0	39	74
07:30	1	14	1	0	1	0		0	0	10	0	10	0	0	10	14	26	0	0	40	76
07:45	8	15	12	0	-5	0	В	0	0	8	0	15	0	0	15	16	32	0	0	48	106
08:00	8	14	11	0	3	0	18	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	1	6	22	0	0	28	80
Total Volume	21	56	26	0	103		50	0	• 0	50	0	28	0	0	28	38	117	0	0	155	336
% App. Total	20.4	54.4	25.2	0		0	100				0	100	0	0		24.5	75.5	0	0		
PHF	.656	.933	.542	.000	.736	.000	.694		.00	.694	.000	.467	.000	.000	.467	.594	.791	.000	.000	.807	.792
Passenger Vehicles	21	56	25	0	102	0	46		0	46	0	28	0	0	28	38	111	0	0	149	325
% Passenger Vehicles	0	0	1	0	1	0		0	\sim		0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	0
Heavy Vehicles	0	0	20	0	1 0	0	4 ه م	0		4 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	4	27
% Heavy Vehicles	0	0	3.0	0	1.0	0	0.0	ď		0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.4	0	0	2.0	2.1
Ø/ Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	1 2	2
% Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1.7	0	0	1.3	0.6
			Out In Total	76 148 220 0 2 2 9 76 155 231		0 0 4 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 112 38 Peds Rinht Thu 1 6f			Out 66 0 0 25 1 0 26 Right € Peak Peak Passe Heavy Buses	Thru R 28 0 0 56 0 56 Thru L Vehicles Thru R 28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ins at 07	al 68 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Right Thru Left Peds			$\begin{bmatrix} Out & In & Tota \\ 132 & 46 & 178 \\ 4 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$	Tillman Rd			
									56 0 56 Out	6) 28 0) (0) (0) 28 0 (0) (10 10 Tarboro	3)) 3 Tot Rd	84 0 0 84 al									



> File Name : Tillman Rd @ Tarboro Rd Site Code : Start Date : 12/05/2023 Page No : 4

		T	arboro	Rd			Т	illman /estbo	Rd			Ta	arboro	Rd			T	illman	Rd		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Ann Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Ann Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Ann Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Ar	nalysis	From	14:00 t	o 15:48	5 - Peak	1 of 1							0								
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	at 14:1	5															
14:15	10	7	2	0	19		22	0	0	22	0	12	0	0	12	6	18	0	0	24	77
14:30	19	8	11	0	38	0	25	0	0	25	0	3	0	0	3	3	24	0	0	27	93
14:45	2	4	4	0	10	0	X	0	0	17	0	6	0	0	6	2	14	0	0	16	49
Total Valuma	35	23	20	0		0		0	0	01	1	23	1	0	25	13	9	1	0	70	273
% App Total	44.9	29.5	25.6	0			100	0	0	51	4	92	4	0	25	16.5	82.3	1.3	0	15	215
PHF	.461	.719	.455	.000	.513	.000	.843	.000	000	.843	.250	.479	.250	.000	.521	.542	.677	.250	.000	.731	.734
Passenger Vehicles	34	23	20	0	77	0	89			89	1	23	1	0	25	13	63	1	0	77	268
% Passenger Vehicles	1	0	0	0	1	0	04	А			0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
Heavy Vehicles	20	0	0	0	13			0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	25	11
% Heavy Venicles	2.9	0	0	0	1.5		2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	2.5	2
% Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	d	Ő	22	ő	0	0	0	0	Ő	Ő	0	Ő	0	07
/0 20000		Ũ	Ũ	Ũ	Ŭ			1	Ŭ	1		Ŭ	Ũ	Ũ	0	Ũ	Ŭ	Ũ	Ũ	Ũ	0.1
			Tillman Rd Out In Total	110 77 187 0 2 2 2 113 70 401		0 0 2 0 0 1 65 13 Peds Binth Thru 1 aft			Peak Peak Peak Passe Heavy Buses	23 0 23 Thru L K HOU Morth Hour Begi enger Vehi Vehicles	34 1 0 35 .eft Pe	10 14 0 14 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 eds		Right Thru Left Peds			Out In Iotal 98 89 187 3 0 3	Tillman Rd			
									Left 1 0 0 1 24 0 0 24 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Thru R 23 0 0 23 4 22 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 23 0 0 0 0	Fight Pe	eds 0 0 0 0 0 0 49 0 0 49 2 al									



> File Name : Tillman Rd @ Tarboro Rd Site Code : Start Date : 12/05/2023 Page No : 5

		Та	arboro	Rd			Т	illman	Rd			Та	arboro	Rd			T	ïllman	Rd		
Start Time	Loft	Thru	Dight	und Dodo		Loft	N	estboi	und Dodo		Loft	Thru	Dight	und Dodo		Loft	Thru	Bight	Ind		lat Tatal
Peak Hour Ar	alvsis	From	16:00 t	0 17.45	App. Total	1 of 1	mu	Right	Peus	App. Total	Leit	THIU	Right	Peus	App. Total	Leit	Thru	Right	Peus	App. Total	int. I otai
Peak Hour for	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	at 17:0	0															
17:00	5	4	6	0	15		31	0	0	31	1	10	0	0	11	0	11	0	0	11	68
17:15	7	3	2	0	12	0	34	0	0	34	0	12	0	0	12	2	24	0	0	26	84
17:30	4	6 5	5	0	15	1		0	0	32 34	0	8	1	0	9	1	16 24	0	0	17 28	/3
Total Volume	19	18	16	0		2	- 19	0	0	131	1	39	1	0	41	7	75	0	0	82	307
% App. Total	35.8	34	30.2	0		15	J8.5	0	0		2.4	95.1	2.4	0		8.5	91.5	0	0		
PHF	.679	.750	.667	.000	.883		.949	.000		.963	.250	.813	.250	.000	.854	.438	.781	.000	.000	.732	.914
% Passenger Vehicles	10	10	10	Ū		-	.2.	X		120	·	00		Ū		0		Ū			200
Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	5
% Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.	0		2.3	0	0	0	0	0	14.3	1.3	0	0	2.4	1.6
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3
% buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	Y	0	1.5		0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	0	1.2	1.0
			Out In Idad	141 79 220 3 2 5 146 82 220		0 0 1 0 0 0 75 7 Dads Dirth Thru 1 af			Peak Peak Peak Peak Passe Heavy Buses	18 0 18 Thru L K HOU K HOU Hour Beginger Veh (Vehicles	19 0 19 eft Pe Jr Da	98 1 0 99 0 0 0 0 eds		Right Thru Left Peds			Out In Iotal 93 126 219 1 3 4	Tillman Rd			
									Left 1 0 0 1 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Thru R 39 0 0 39 39 0 39 0 39 0 39 0 39 0 39 0 0 0 39 0 0 0 39 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		eds 0 0 0 61 61 61 61 61 tal									

Short Counts, LLC 735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201

Site Code: Tillman Rd Station ID: EB & WB Traffic Just East of Tarboro Rd Ridgeland, SC Latitude: 0' 0.0000 Undefined

Start	05-Dec-23	Fasth	ound	Hour	Totals	West	hound	Hour	Totals	Combine	ad Totals
Time	Tue	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon
12.00	100	0	20	morning	7 (10)110011	2	13	morring	7 4101110011	morning	/
12:15		0	16			2	13				
12:30		0	.0			3	14				
12:45		2	13	2	58	0	27	7	67	q	125
01:00		0	20	2	00	0	23		07	0	120
01.00		1	18			1	18				
01.10		0	10			1	26				
01:45		0	17	1	74	0	21	2	88	3	162
01.40		0	16		/ 4	1	21	2	00	5	102
02.00		0	26			0	24				
02.13		0				0	20				
02:45		0	19	0	103	0	20	1	100	1	203
02.40		0	10	U	105	0	20	1	100	1	205
03.00		0	10			0	23				
03.13		0	10			1	27				
03.30		0	23	0	74	0	22	1	100	1	102
03.45		0	21	0	74	0	23	1	109	1	103
04:00		0	21			0	33				
04:15		2	28			0	33				
04:30		4	29		101	0	43	4	407	4.4	000
04:45		4	23	10	101	1	28	1	137	11	238
05:00		4	15		•	0	37				
05:15		1	31			5	36				
05:30		9	19			4	40	10	4=0		
05:45		12	26	32	91		40	16	153	48	244
06:00		16	15			7	41				
06:15		12	26			9	31				
06:30		18	12			7	26				
06:45		26	21	72	74	8	27	31	125	103	199
07:00		32	12			12	23				
07:15		41	14			17					
07:30		26	9				18				
07:45		39	7	138	42	1 6	1 9	61	78	199	120
08:00		31	8			21	13				
08:15		24	6			18	11				
08:30		23	5			16	14				
08:45		20	6	98	25	12	10	67	▶ 48	165	73
09:00		18	9			14	9				
09:15		16	3			10	12				
09:30		11	2			10	6				
09:45		14	3	59	17	9	7	43	34	102	51
10:00		9	6			14	5				
10:15		14	6			6	4				
10:30		18	2			11	5				
10:45		11	6	52	20	16	5	47	19	99	39
11:00		19	2			16	7				
11:15		12	1			15	3				
11:30		21	1			19	2				
11:45		20	0	72	4	14	2	64	14	136	18
Total		536	683			341	972			877	1655
Percent		44.0%	56.0%			26.0%	74.0%			34.6%	65.4%
Grand		536	683			3/1	070			877	1655
Total		530	003			341	912			0//	1000
Percent		44.0%	56.0%			26.0%	74.0%			34.6%	65.4%
ADT	A	ADT 2,532	A	ADT 2,532							

ADT

Page 1

Short Counts, LLC 735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201

Site Code: Tarboro Rd Station ID: NB & SB Traffic Just North of Tillman Rd Ridgeland, SC Latitude: 0' 0.0000 Undefined

Start	05-Dec-23	South	hound	Hour	Totals	North	bound	Hour	Totals	Combin	ed Totals
Time	Tue	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon	Morning	Afternoon
12.00	140	0	6	morning	7 (10)110011	0	3	Morning	7 4101110011	morring	74101110011
12:15		0	11			0	2				
12:30		Õ	6			0	6				
12:45		1	5	1	28	0	8	0	19	1	47
01:00		1	6		20	1	4	0	10	•	-1
01:15		0	5			0	8				
01:10		0	12			1	8				
01:45		0	6	1	29	0	12	2	32	3	61
02:00		0	8		20	0	17	2	02	0	01
02:00		0	18			0	25				
02:10		0				0	23				
02:45		0	10	0	75	0	11	0	61	0	136
02:40		0	10	Ŭ	15	0	8	0	01	0	150
03.00		0	14			0	6				
03.10		1	17			0	0				
03:45		1		2.	18	0	15	0	38	2	86
03.43		0	12		40	0	13	0	50	2	00
04.00		1	16			0	13				
04.13		1	10			0	17				
04.30		4	14		50	0	17	0	50	7	100
04.45		<u>ک</u>	14		59	0	12	0	50	1	109
05.00		1	10			1	15				
05.15		3	15			1	17				
05.30		7	10	- 17	E1	7	10	0	70	01	101
05:45		1	10	12	51		20	9	70	21	121
06:00		17	14			4	14				
06:15		15	1				10				
06:30		16	6	<u> </u>	24	5	15	4.4	50	74	00
06:45		12	1	60	34	3	14	14	59	74	93
07:00		18	11			6					
07:15		19	4			11		•			
07:30		15	4	00	05		8.	04	20	474	
07:45		38	6	90	25		• 5	81	30	171	55
08:00		29	0			15	2				
08:15		5	0			5	3				
08:30		8	0	10	0	9	2		10	04	
08:45		(2	49	2	3	5	32	▼ 12	81	14
09:00		5	3			3	2				
09:15		8	0			3	6				
09:30		5	0		0	3	2		10		10
09:45		4	0	22	3	2	3	11	13	33	16
10:00		1	1			4	1				
10:15		6	2			4	1				
10:30		3	0	05	0	5	1	10			-
10:45		15	0	25	3	3	1	16	4	41	(
11:00		5	1			9	0				
11:15		6	2			2	0				
11:30		4	U	10	<u> </u>	8	0	0.5		10	
11:45		3	0	18	3	6	1	25	1	43	4
Iotal		287	360			190	389			4//	/49
Percent		44.4%	55.6%			32.8%	67.2%			38.9%	61.1%
Grand		287	360			190	389			477	749
Iotal		4 4 4 6 7	FF 00/			00.001	07.001			60 6 67	04.40
Percent		44.4%	55.6%			32.8%	67.2%			38.9%	61.1%
ADT	A	ADT 1,226	A	ADT 1,226							

ADT





APPENDIX B

SYNCHRO HCM 6 ANALYSIS 2023 EXISTING PEAK HOUR VOLUMES

J - 30596.0000

January 2024

thomasandhutton.com

5.4

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	117	0	0	50	0	0	28	0	21	56	26
Future Vol, veh/h	38	117	0	0	50	0	0	28	0	21	56	26
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5		0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Mvmt Flow	48	148	0	0	63	0	0	35	0	27	71	33

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2	•	I	Minor1		ľ	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	63	0	0	148		0	359	307	148	325	307	63	
Stage 1	-	· -	-	-	K -	-	244	244	-	63	63	-	
Stage 2	-	· -	-			-	115	63	-	262	244	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	-	.	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.24	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	· -	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	· -	-	-	-	-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.336	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1553	-	-	1446	-		002	610	904	632	610	996	
Stage 1	-	· -	-	-	-	-	76	708	Ā	953	846	-	
Stage 2	-	· -	-	-	-	-	8 5	846		747	708	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1553	-	-	1446	-	-	513	50	9.1	587	589	996	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· _	· -	-	-	-	-	513	589	-	587	589	-	
Stage 1	-	· -	-	-	-	-	738	684	-	921	84	-	
Stage 2	-	· -	-	-	-	-	793	846	-	684	68	-	
Annraach	ГР			WD			ND			G			
Approach	ED	1		VVD			IND			35			
HCM Control Delay, s	1.8			0			11.5			11.8			
HCM LOS							В			В			
Minor Lane/Major Myr	nt	NBI n1	FRI	FRT	FRR	WR	WRT	WRR	SRI n1				
	110	TOLIT	1550				101				_		

											 <u> </u>
Capacity (veh/h)	589	1553	-	-	1446	-	-	656			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.06	0.031	-	-	-	-	-	0.199			
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.5	7.4	0	-	0	-	-	11.8			
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	Α	-	-	В			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	0.7			

3.5

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	75	0	2	129	0	1	39	1	19	18	16
Future Vol, veh/h	7	75	0	2	129	0	1	39	1	19	18	16
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	3		0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	8	82	0	2	142	0	1	43	1	21	20	18

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2		l	Minor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	142	0	0	82		0	263	244	82	266	244	142	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	K -	-	98	98	-	146	146	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-			-	165	146	-	120	98	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.24	-	-	4.1	F	Ξ.	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-		-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.326	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1370	-	-	1528	-		594	661	983	691	661	911	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	91	818	Ā	861	780	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 2	780		889	818	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1370	-	-	1528	-	-	661	67	9.2	652	656	911	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· _	-	-	-	-	-	661	656		652	656	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	908	813	-	856	71.	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	804	779	-	836	81	-	
Ū													
A	ED									-			
Approach	EB			NAR			NB			37			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.7			0.1			10.8			10.5			
HCM LOS							В			В			
Minor Lane/Major Mu	nt	NRI n1	FRI	FRT	FRP	W/RI	W/RT		SRI n1				

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBI	WBR 3	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	661	1370	-	- ´	1528	-	-	715
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.068	0.006	-	- 0	.001	-	-	0.081
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.8	7.6	0	-	7.4	0	-	10.5
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	А	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.3

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.5						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		÷.	el el		Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	132	69	4	6	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	6	132	69	4	6	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3		0	33	0	
Mvmt Flow	6	140	73	4	6	0	
Major/Minor	Major1		Vajor?		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	77	0		0	2	75	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	75	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-		2	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6. B	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	53	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5. 3	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.797	3,3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1535	-	-	-	697	532	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	875	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	806	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1535	-	-	-	694	992	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	694	-	▼ ▼
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	872	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	806	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3		0		10.2		
HCM LOS					В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		1535	-	-	-	694	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.004	-	-	-	0.009	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	-	10.2	
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	-	_	B	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ı)	0	-	-	-	0	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		સં	f,		۰¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	65	117	9	11	4	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	65	117	9	11	4	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6		11	0	25	
Mvmt Flow	0	75	134	10	13	5	
Major/Minor	Major1		Vajor?		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	144	0		0	2 1	139	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	139	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-		75	_	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	E F	0.45	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.525	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1451	-	-	-	779	002	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	893	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	953	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1451	-	-	-	779	852	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	779	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	893	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	953	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		9.6		
HCM LOS					А		
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		1451	-	-	-	797	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	-	0.022	
HCM Control Delay (s)		0	-	-	-	9.6	
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	-	A	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	0.1	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.2						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			र्भ	ef 👘		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	87	101	1	
Future Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	87	101	1	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	66	66	66	66	66	66	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		0	1	0	
Mvmt Flow	3	2	2	132	153	2	
Maior/Minor	Minor2		Vaior1		Maior2		
Conflicting Flow All	290	154	155	0		0	
Stage 1	154			- 4			
Stage 2	136	-	_				
Critical Hdwy	6.4	62	41	•		J .	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	0	-	-		-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	.	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	33	22	-	-	-	<u> </u>
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	705	897	1438	-	-	-	
Stage 1	879	-	-	-	-	-	Y
Stage 2	895	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked. %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	704	897	1438	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	704	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	877	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	895	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	FB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay s	9.8		0.1		0		
HCM LOS	Δ		0.1		0		
	7						
Minor Lane/Maior Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1438		758	_	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	_	0 006	_	_	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.5	0	9.8	_	_	
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	A	-	-	

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0

0

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.1						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۰¥			ર્સ	đ,		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	1	0	61	74	1	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	1	0	61	74	1	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e,#0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	69	69	69	69	69	69	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		2	1	0	
Mvmt Flow	1	1	0	88	107	1	
Major/Minor	Minor?		Major1		laior?		
Conflicting Flow All	106	100	100	0		0	
	190	100	100	0		U	
Stage 1	100	-	-				
Stage Z	00	6.2	-				
Critical Howy	0.4 5.4	0.2	4.1	-		-	
Critical Houry Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-		-	
	3.4	33	22	-	◀ -	-	1
Politow-up Huwy	3.0 707	051	1/05	-	-	Í	K /
Stage 1	021	901	1490	-	-	-	V
Stage 2	921	-	-	-	-	-	
Sidye Z	940	-	-	-	-	-	
May Cap 1 Manauyor	707	051	1/05	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	707	901	1495	-	-	-	
	021	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	921	-	-	-	-	-	
Slaye Z	940	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.2		0		0		
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1495	-	867	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.003	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s))	0	-	9.2	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		Ă	-	A	-	-	

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0

0





APPENDIX C

SCDOT COUNT STATION DATA VOLUMES

J - 30596.0000

January 2024

Site Name 27-0191 Site ID 000000270191 Description SC336 : S- 29 (SMITHS XING) TO S- 116 (GREAT SWAMP ST)

Data Item	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Statistics Type	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AADT	1800	1900	1950	2000	2000	2000	2200	2000	2000	2100
Single-Unit Truck AADT	^ -	-	-	-	-	-	101	84	63	66
Combo-Unit Truck AAP		-	-	-	-	-	62	64	26	28
% DHV SU Tracks		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% DHV CU Tracks		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% Peak SU Trucks	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% Peak CU Trucks	-	K -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
K-Factor	7		-	-	-	-	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12
D-Factor	-		-	-	-	-	0.58	0.58	0.68	0.68
				1	•					
			•	Y						
					$\boldsymbol{\wedge}$		•			
					•	•				
								Ŧ		





Site Name 27-0242 Site ID 000000270242 Description S-29 : SC 336 (TILLMAN RD) TO S- 48 (CARTERS MILL RD), L- 48

Data Item	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Statistics Type	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AADT	1100	1050	1150	1150	1150	1150	1900	1750	1250	1300
Single-Unit Truck AADT	^ -	-	-	-	-	-	67	49	67	69
Combo-Unit Truck AAP		-	-	-	-	-	15	26	10	10
% DHV SU Trucks		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% DHV CU Truks	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% Peak SU Trucks	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% Peak CU Trucks	-	K -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
K-Factor	-		-	-	-	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
D-Factor	-		-	-	-	-	0.65	0.65	0.55	0.55
				9						









APPENDIX D

TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

J - 30596.0000

January 2024

thomasandhutton.com



PM Peak Hour

Total TripsTrips987622365

Nimmer Tract TIA

From ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition

Vehicle Trips



PM Peak Hour

Total	Entering	Exiting
Trips	Trips	Trips
86	51	35







APPENDIX E

SYNCHRO HCM 6 ANALYSIS 2035 NO-BUILD PEAK HOUR VOLUMES

J - 30596.0000

January 2024

5.8

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	44	137	0	0	58	0	0	33	0	25	65	30
Future Vol, veh/h	44	137	0	0	58	0	0	33	0	25	65	30
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5		0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Mvmt Flow	56	173	0	0	73	0	0	42	0	32	82	38

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2	•		Minor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	73	0	0	173		0	418	358	173	379	358	73	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	Z -	-	285	285	-	73	73	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-				133	73	-	306	285	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	F	.	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.24	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-		-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.336	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1540	-	-	1416	-	· .	-40	572	876	582	572	983	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	72	679	Ā	942	838	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 5	838		708	679	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-	•						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1540	-	-	1416	-	-	453	-5/	3.6	531	549	983	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	453	549		531	549	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	698	652	-	904	83	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	759	838	-	636	65	-	
Annroach	FR			W/R			NR			G			
Apploach HCM Control Doloy o	1.0			000			10.1			10.0			
HOW CONTROL Delay, S	1.0			U			12.1			12.0			
HUM LUS							В			В			
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt N	VBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR \$	SBLn1				

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLN1	EBL	ERI	ERK	WRL	WRI	WRK :	SBLD1
Capacity (veh/h)	549	1540	-	-	1416	-	-	612
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.076	0.036	-	-	-	-	-	0.248
HCM Control Delay (s)	12.1	7.4	0	-	0	-	-	12.8
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	А	-	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	1

3.6

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	88	0	2	151	0	1	46	1	22	21	19
Future Vol, veh/h	8	88	0	2	151	0	1	46	1	22	21	19
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	3		0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	9	97	0	2	166	0	1	51	1	24	23	21

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2	•	1	Minor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	166	0	0	97		0	307	285	97	311	285	166	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	K -	-	115	115	-	170	170	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-		· ·	-	192	170	-	141	115	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.24	-	-	4.1		Ζ.	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	- 🔻 -	-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.326	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1342	-	-	1509	-	•	\$49	628	965	645	628	884	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	804	Ā	837	762	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	84	762		867	804	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-			K				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1342	-	-	1509	-	-	612	62	9-	600	623	884	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	• -	-	-	-	-	-	612	623		600	623	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	889	798	-	831	76	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	770	761	-	805	70	-	
												•	
Approach	ED			\//D			ND			G			
Approach	ED			VVD									
HCM Control Delay, s	6.0			0.1			11.3			10.9			
HCM LOS							В			В			
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	mt N	VBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1				

winor Lane/wajor wwm	INDLITI	EDL	EDI	EDK	VVDL	VVDI	VVDR	SPLIII	
Capacity (veh/h)	627	1342	-	-	1509	-	-	675	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.084	0.007	-	-	0.001	-	-	0.101	
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.3	7.7	0	-	7.4	0	-	10.9	
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	-	Α	А	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.3	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.5						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ની	ţ,		۰¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	154	81	5	7	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	7	154	81	5	7	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3		0	33	0	
Mvmt Flow	7	164	86	5	7	0	
Major/Minor	Major1		Vajor?		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	91	0		0	2	89	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	89	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-		रश्		
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6. 8	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5 3	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5. 3	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.797	3,3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1517	-	-	-	660	015	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	862	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	783	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1517	-	-	-	657	975	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	657	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	858	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	783	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3		0		10.5		
HCM LOS					В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (yeh/h)		1517	-	-	-	657	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.005	-	-	_	0.011	
HCM Control Delay (s))	7.4	0	-	-	10.5	
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	-	-	B	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	0	

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7							
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations		र्स	ţ,		۰¥			
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	76	137	11	13	5		
Future Vol, veh/h	0	76	137	11	13	5		
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop		
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None		
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	0	-	0	-		
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-		
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87		
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6		11	0	25		
Mvmt Flow	0	87	157	13	15	6		
Major/Minor	Major1		Vajor?		Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	170	0		0	2	164		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	164	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-		VZ	-		
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	E F	0.45		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	4	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	.4	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.525		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1420	-	-	-	742	oz4		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	870	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	941	-		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1420	-	-	-	742	824		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	742	-	• • • •	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	870	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	941	-		
-								
Approach	EB		WB		SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		9.9			
HCM LOS					А			
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)		1420	-	-	-	763		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	-	0.027		
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	-	9.9		
HCM Lane LOS		А	-	-	-	А		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	0.1		

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.2						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	el e		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	102	118	1	
Future Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	102	118	1	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	66	66	66	66	66	66	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		0	1	0	
Mvmt Flow	3	2	2	155	179	2	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Vajor 1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	339	180	181	0		0	
Stage 1	180	-	-	-/			
Stage 2	159	-	-				
Critical Hdwv	6.4	6.2	4.1			σ.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	661	868	1407	-	-	· ·	
Stage 1	856	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	875	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	660	868	1407	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	660	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	854	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	875	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1		0.1		0		
HCM LOS	В						
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1407	-	717	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	0.006	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.6	0	10.1	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	В	-	-	

0

0

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.1						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			÷	et -		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	1	0	71	86	1	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	1	0	71	86	1	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	69	69	69	69	69	69	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		2	1	0	
Mvmt Flow	1	1	0	103	125	1	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Vajor1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	229	126	126	0		0	
Stage 1	126	-	-	-			
Stage 2	103	-	-			_	
Critical Hdwv	6.4	6.2	4.1	-		.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	764	930	1473	-	-	· ·	
Stage 1	905	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	926	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	764	930	1473	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	764	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	905	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	926	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.3		0		0		
HCM LOS	A						
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	it	NBL	NBTI	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1473	-	839	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.003	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		0	-	9.3	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А	-	А	-	-	

0

0

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)





APPENDIX F

SYNCHRO HCM 6 ANALYSIS 2035 BUILD OUT PEAK HOUR VOLUMES

J - 30596.0000

January 2024
Int Delay, s/veh

148.1

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	64	165	29	0	68	39	10	110	0	139	294	87
Future Vol, veh/h	64	165	29	0	68	39	10	110	0	139	294	87
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5		0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Mvmt Flow	81	209	37	0	86	49	13	139	0	176	372	110

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2	•		Minor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	135	0	0	246		0	742	525	228	570	519	111	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	390	390	-	111	111	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-			-	352	135	-	459	408	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	F	.	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.24	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	- 📕 -	-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.336	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1462	-	-	1332	-	• .	34	460	816	435	464	937	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	611	Ā	899	807	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	E 9	789		586	600	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-	•						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1462	-	-	1332	-	-	77	42	26	311	434	937	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	77	431	-	311	434	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	597	572	-	841	80.	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	318	789	-	415	56	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			S			
HCM Control Delay, s	1.9			0			27			279.1			
HCM LOS							D			F			
Miner Lene /Maier Mar	-1			ГРТ					1				
winor Lane/Wajor Wvr	nt	INBENT	EBL	EBT	EBR	VVBL	VVBI	WBR	BREUI				
Compatible (see h/h)		240	1400			4000			107				

Capacity (veh/h)	312	1462	-	- ′	1332	-	- 427	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.487	0.055	-	-	-	-	- 1.542	
HCM Control Delay (s)	27	7.6	0	-	0	-	- 279.1	
HCM Lane LOS	D	А	А	-	А	-	- F	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	2.5	0.2	-	-	0	-	- 35.8	

Int Delay, s/veh

393.4

N.4		EDT					NIDI	NIDT	NIDD	001	ODT	000
Novement	EBL	EBT	EBK	WBL	WBI	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBK	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		- 44			- 44			- 44			- 44	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	76	108	20	2	184	135	35	315	1	103	183	59
Future Vol, veh/h	76	108	20	2	184	135	35	315	1	103	183	59
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	3		0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	84	119	22	2	202	148	38	346	1	113	201	65

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2	•	I	Minor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	350	0	0	141		0	711	652	130	752	589	276	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	/ -	-	298	298	-	280	280	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-			-	413	354	-	472	309	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.24	-	-	4.1	F	.	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	- 🖉 -	-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.326	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1145	-	-	1455	-	-	251	390	925	329	423	768	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	71	671	Ā	731	683	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ø	634		576	663	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1145	-	-	1455	-	-	179	37	9.5	~ 38	388	768	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	179	358	_	~ 38	<u>38</u> 8	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	658	617	-	673	6ð-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	399	633	-	232	61	-	
Approach	ГР						ND						
Approach	EB			VVB									
HCM Control Delay, s	3.1			0			144.3		\$	1243.8			
HCM LOS							F			F			
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1				
Capacity (veh/h)		326	1145	-	-	1455	-	-	106				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		1.183	0.073	-	-	0.002	-	-	3.577				
HCM Control Delay (s)	144.3	8.4	0	-	7.5	0	\$-	1243.8				
HCM Lane LOS	,	F	А	A	-	A	A	-	F				

37.9

_

-: Volume exceeds capacity \$: Delay exceeds 300s +: Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon

0

_

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

Notes

16.3

0.2

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	3.9						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	ef 👘		۰¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	36	174	138	24	64	86	
Future Vol, veh/h	36	174	138	24	64	86	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3		0	33	0	
Mvmt Flow	38	185	147	26	68	91	
Major/Minor	Major1		Vajor?		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	173	0		0		160	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	160	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-		21	_	
Critical Hdwv	4.1	-	-		6. 8	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5 3	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5. 3	-	
Follow-up Hdwv	2.2	-	-	-	3.797	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1416	-	-	-	534	050	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	799	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	716	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1416	-	-	-	518	890	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	518	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	775	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	716	-	\mathbf{A}
Ŭ							
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay. s	1.3		0		11.9		
HCM LOS					В		
Minor Lane/Maior Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		1416	-	-	-	681	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.027	-	-	-	0.234	
HCM Control Delay (s))	7.6	0	-	_	11.9	
HCM Lane LOS		s	A	_	_	B	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0.9	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	4						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		्र	el 👘		Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	101	143	177	78	53	65	
Future Vol, veh/h	101	143	177	78	53	65	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6		11	0	25	
Mvmt Flow	116	164	203	90	61	75	
Major/Minor	Major1		Vajor?		/linor2		
Conflicting Flow All	293	0		0	P T	248	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	248	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-		2.16	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	E F	0.45	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.525	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1280	-	-	-	440	108	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	798	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	684	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1280	-	-	-	396	738	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	396	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	718	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	684	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		A matrix
HCM Control Delay, s	3.3		0		14.1		
HCM LOS					В		
					_		
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		1280	-	-	-	532	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.091	-	-	-	0.255	
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.1	0	-	-	14.1	
HCM Lane LOS	,	А	А	-	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ı)	0.3	-	-	-	1	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			- 4	el 👘		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	1	1	124	126	3	
Future Vol, veh/h	8	1	1	124	126	3	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	66	66	66	66	66	66	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		0	1	0	
Mvmt Flow	12	2	2	188	191	5	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	386	194	196	0		0	
Stage 1	194	-	-	-4		-	
Stage 2	192	-	-			_	
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	4.1	-		.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	· -	1	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	621	853	1389	-	-	-	
Stage 1	844	-	-	-	-	-	Y
Stage 2	845	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	620	853	1389	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	620	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	842	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	845	-	-	-	-	-	
U ⁻							
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay	10.8		0.1		0		
HCM LOS	R		V.1				
	U						
Minor Lane/Maior Mym	t	NRI	NBT	FBI n1	SBT	SBR	
Canacity (yeb/b)		1380		630	001	ODIX	
HCM Lane V/C Patio		0.001		0.039	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (a)		7.6	-	10.021	-	-	
HCM Lang LOS		1.0		10.0 D	-	-	
LOW LANE LUS		A	A	D	-	-	

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0

0.1

-

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	0.3						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	- M			र्भ	f,		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	5	1	0	87	113	8	
Future Vol, veh/h	5	1	0	87	113	8	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e,#0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	69	69	69	69	69	69	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		2	1	0	
Mvmt Flow	7	1	0	126	164	12	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	296	170	176	0		0	
Stage 1	170	-	-	-	/ -	-	
Stage 2	126	-	-				
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	4.1	-	F	.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.2	-	-	1	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	699	879	1412	-	-	· -	
Stage 1	865	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	905	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	699	879	1412	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	699	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	865	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	905	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10		0		0		
HCM LOS	B						
Minor Lane/Maior Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1412	_	724	_	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	_	0.012	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s))	0	-	10	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		Ā	-	В	-	-	

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0

0

5.5						
EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
¥			च	ţ,		
11	257	86	150	262	4	
11	257	86	150	262	4	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
-	None	-	None	-	None	
0	-	-	-	-	-	
# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
0	-	-	0	0	-	
92	92	92	92	92	92	
2	2	A	2	2	2	
12	279	93	163	285	4	
nor2		Vajor1	Ν	<i>N</i> ajor2		
636	287	289	0		0	
287	-	-	-/	/ -	-	
349	-	-			-	
6.42	6.22	4.12	-		.	
5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
442	752	1273	-	-	· -	
762	-	-	-	-	-	
714	-	-	-	-	-	
			-	-	-	
407	752	1273	-	-	-	
407	-	-	-	-	-	▼ ▼
701	-	-	-	-	-	
714	-	-	-	-	-	
EB		NB		SB		
13.2		2.9		0		
В						
	NBL	NBT I	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
	1273	-	727	-	-	
	0.073	-	0.401	-	-	
	8.1	0	13.2	-	-	
	5.5 EBL 11 11 11 0 Stop - 0 0 0 2 2 12 636 287 349 6.42 5.42 5.42 5.42 5.42 5.42 5.42 5.42 5.42 714 407 701 714 EB 13.2 B	5.5 EBL EBR 11 257 11 257 11 257 0 0 Stop Stop - None 0 - 0 - 2 2 12 279 0 - 92 92 2 2 12 279 nor2 2 636 287 287 - 349 - 6.42 6.22 5.42 - 5.42 - 5.42 - 5.43 3.318 442 752 407 752 407 - 701 - 714 - EB 13.2 B - 1273 0.073 8.1 -	5.5 EBL EBR NBL 11 257 86 11 257 86 11 257 86 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free None - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 92 92 92 2 2 12 279 93 0 - - 636 287 289 287 - - 5.42 - - 5.42 - - 5.42 - - 5.42 - - 701 - - 701 - -	5.5 EBL EBR NBL NBT Y Image: Constraint of the state o	5.5 EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT Y	5.5 EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR 11 257 86 150 262 4 11 257 86 150 262 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 Stop Stop Free Free Free Free None - None - None 0 - - 0 0 - 0 - - 0 0 - 0 - - 0 0 - 92 92 92 92 92 92 12 279 93 163 285 4 nor2 Major1 Major2 - - - 636 287 289 0 0 0 - 542 - - - - - - - 542 - - - - - - - - - </td

А

0.2

А

-

В

1.9

-

-

-

-

HCM Lane LOS

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	5.3						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۰¥			र्स	4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	180	303	240	188	13	
Future Vol, veh/h	8	180	303	240	188	13	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	9	196	329	261	204	14	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1130	211	218	0		0	
Stage 1	211	-	-	-	/ -	-	
Stage 2	919	-	-			-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	F	.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	225	829	1352	-	-		
Stage 1	824	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	389	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	161	829	1352	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	161	-	-	-	-	-	▼ ▼
Stage 1	589	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	389	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.2		4.8		0		
HCM LOS	В						
Minor Lane/Maior Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (yeh/h)		1352	_	705	_	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.244	_	0.29	_	-	
HCM Control Delay (s))	8.5	0	12.2	-	-	

В

1.2

-

-

-

-

А

1

Α

-

HCM Lane LOS

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	4.3						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	- M			र्स	đ,		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	143	48	114	123	4	
Future Vol, veh/h	11	143	48	114	123	4	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	12	155	52	124	134	4	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Vajor1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	364	136	138	0		0	
Stage 1	136	-	-	-/		-	
Stage 2	228	-	-				
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	F	.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	₹.	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	635	913	1446	-	-	-	
Stage 1	890	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	810	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	610	913	1446	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	610	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	855	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	810	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10		2.2		0		
HCM LOS	В						
Minor Lane/Maior Myn	nt	NBI	NBTI	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1446	_	882	_	_	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.036	-	0.19	_	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.6	0	10	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	В	-	-	

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0.1

0.7

-

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	5.1						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۰¥			र्च	el -		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	8	100	168	79	101	13	
Future Vol, veh/h	8	100	168	79	101	13	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	9	109	183	86	110	14	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ν	/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	569	117	124	0		0	
Stage 1	117	-	-	-	Z -	-	
Stage 2	452	-	-			-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	F	• -	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-		-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	484	935	1463	-	-	-	V
Stage 1	908	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	641	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	421	935	1463	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	421	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	789	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	641	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9		5.3		0		
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1463	-	857	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.125	-	0.137	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.8	0	9.9	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А	А	А	-	-	

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0.4

0.5

-

Int Delay, s/veh	6.6					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	- ¥		ţ,			4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	143	6	12	48	2	7
Future Vol, veh/h	143	6	12	48	2	7
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,#0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	155	7	13	52	2	8
Major/Minor	Minor1		Jaior1		Major2	
	51	20		0	viajurz	
	20	39	U	0		0
Stage 1	39	-	-			
Stage 2	6.40	-	-		-	-
Critical Howy	6.42	6.22	-	•	4.	-
Critical Howy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Howy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-		-
Follow-up Hawy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	958	1033	-	-	1537	-
Stage 1	983	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1011	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	057	4000	-	-	4507	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	957	1033	-	-	1537	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	957	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	983	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1010	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay s	9.5		0		16	
HCM LOS	0.0 A		J		1.5	
		NET			0.51	0.5.7
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBT	NBK	VBLn1	SBL	SBL
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	960	1537	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.169	0.001	-

0

А

-

9.5

А

0.6

_

-

-

-

-

-

7.3

А

0

01/04/2024

HCM Control Delay (s)

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

HCM Lane LOS

Intersection									
Int Delay, s/veh	3.5								
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT			
Lane Configurations	- M		ţ,			ર્સ			
Traffic Vol, veh/h	100	4	11	168	7	18			
Future Vol, veh/h	100	4	11	168	7	18			
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free			
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None			
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-			
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0			
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0			
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92			
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2			
Mvmt Flow	109	4	12	183	8	20			
Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1	I	Major2				
Conflicting Flow All	140	104	0	0	10	0			
Stage 1	104	-	-	-	Z -	-			
Stage 2	36	-	-			-			
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-		4. 2	.			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	- 📕 -	-			
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	1			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	853	951	-	-	1378	-	V		
Stage 1	920	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	986	-	-	-	-	-			
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-		•	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	848	951	-	-	1378	-			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	848	-	-	-	-	-	• •		
Stage 1	920	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	980	-	-	-	-	-			
Approach	WB		NB		SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9		0		2.1				
HCM LOS	А								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT			
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	852	1378	-			
				0.400	0.000				

Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	· 852	1378	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.133	0.006	-		
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	9.9	7.6	0		
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	· A	А	А		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.5	0	-		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	M			4	1	•2
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	1	4	236	518	0
Future Vol. veh/h	0	1	4	236	518	0
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	• # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles %	2	2	Ä	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	1	4	857	563	0
	U		'		000	v
Major/Minor	Minor2		Vajori	Ν	Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	828	563	563	0		0
Stage 1	563	-	-	-	K -	-
Stage 2	265	-	-			
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	F	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-		-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	- 🔻 -	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	341	526	1008	-	-	-
Stage 1	570	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	779	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	339	526	1008	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	339	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	567	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	779	-	-	-	-	-
Annroach	FB		NR		SB	
HCM Control Delay	11.0		0.1		00	
HCM LOS	11.9 R		0.1		U	
	D					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		1008	-	526	-	-
LICM Lana V//C Datia		0 004		0 000		

Capacity (veh/h)	1008	-	526	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.004	-	0.002	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.6	0	11.9	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	В	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0	-	-

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Ý			÷.	ef 👘		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	4	1	542	368	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	4	1	542	368	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	4	1	589	400	0	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Vajor ¹	Ν	/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	991	400	400	0		0	
Stage 1	400	-	-	-	/ -	-	
Stage 2	591	-	-				
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-		.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-		-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	273	650	1159	-	-		
Stage 1	677	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	553	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	273	650	1159	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	273	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	676	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	553	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.6		0		0		

HCM LOS B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT I	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1159	-	650	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001	-	0.007	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.1	0	10.6	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	В	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0	-	-





APPENDIX G

SYNCHRO HCM 6 ANALYSIS 2035 BUILD OUT PEAK HOUR VOLUMES WITH IMPROVEMENTS

J - 30596.0000

January 2024

thomasandhutton.com

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	3.9						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1	4Î		Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	36	174	138	24	64	86	
Future Vol, veh/h	36	174	138	24	64	86	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	150	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3		0	33	0	
Mvmt Flow	38	185	147	26	68	91	
Major/Minor	Major1		Major?		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	173	0		0		160	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	160	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-			-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	6. 5	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5 3	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5. 3	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.797	3,3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1416	-	-	-	534	لات	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	799	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	716	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1416	-	-	-	520	890	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	520	-	▼ ▼
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	777	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	716	-	
							•
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.3		0		11.9		
HCM LOS					В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR :	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		1416	-	-	-	683	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.027	-	-	-	0.234	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.6	-	-	-	11.9	
HCM Lane LOS		А	-	-	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0.9	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	4						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	↑	4		۰¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	101	143	177	78	53	65	
Future Vol, veh/h	101	143	177	78	53	65	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	150	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87	
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6		11	0	25	
Mvmt Flow	116	164	203	90	61	75	
Major/Minor	Major1		Vajor?	Ν	/linor2		
Conflicting Flow All	293	0	_	0	P T	248	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	248	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-		. 6	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	-	E ¥	0.45	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	4	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	.4	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	-	3.5	3.525	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1280	-	-	-	440	108	\mathbf{V}
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	798	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	684	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1280	-	-	-	400	738	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	400	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	725	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	684	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	3.3		0		14		
HCM LOS					В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR :	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		1280	-	-	-	535	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.091	-	-	-	0.254	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.1	-	-	-	14	
HCM Lane LOS		Α	-	-	-	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	-	1	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	5.5						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۰¥		۲	•	ţ,		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	257	86	150	262	4	
Future Vol, veh/h	11	257	86	150	262	4	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	150	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	12	279	93	163	285	4	
Maior/Minor	Minor2		Major1		/laior2		
Conflicting Flow All	636	287	289	0		0	
Stage 1	287	-		-4			
Stage 2	349	-	-		\ .		
Critical Hdwy	6 42	6 22	4 12			.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5 42			-		-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5 42	-	-	-	.	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	· -	-	/
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	442	752	1273	-	-	-	
Stage 1	762	-	-	-	-	-	Y
Stage 2	714	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	410	752	1273	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	410	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	706	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	714	-	-	-	-	-	Å
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay s	13.2		2.9		0		
HCM LOS	<u>.</u> R		2.0				
	5						
Minor Lane/Maior Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (yeh/h)		1273	-	727	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.073	_	0.401	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.1	-	13.2	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	В	-	-	

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0.2

1.9

_

-

-

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	5.2						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	- M		5	•	1.		
Traffic Vol. veh/h	8	180	303	240	188	13	
Future Vol, veh/h	8	180	303	240	188	13	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	150	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	9	196	329	261	204	14	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ν	/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1130	211	218	0		0	
Stage 1	211	-	-	-	Z -	-	
Stage 2	919	-	-			-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	F	.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	225	829	1352	-	-	-	
Stage 1	824	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	389	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	170	829	1352	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	170	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	624	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	389	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.1		4.8		0		
HCM LOS	В						
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1352	-	712	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.244	-	0.287	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.5	-	12.1	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А	-	В	-	-	

01/04/2024

1

1.2

. .

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	4.3						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	W		5	*	1.	-	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	11	143	48	114	123	4	
Future Vol, veh/h	11	143	48	114	123	4	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	150	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	12	155	52	124	134	4	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ν	/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	364	136	138	0		0	
Stage 1	136	-	-	-	K -	-	
Stage 2	228	-	-			-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	F	.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-		-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	635	913	1446	-	-	-	V
Stage 1	890	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	810	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	612	913	1446	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	612	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	858	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	810	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10		2.2		0		
HCM LOS	В						
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1446	-	882	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.036	-	0.19	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s))	7.6	-	10	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		А	-	В	-	-	

01/04/2024

0.1

0.7

_

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	5.1						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	W		3		1 .		
Traffic Vol. veh/h	8	100	168	79	101	13	
Future Vol. veh/h	8	100	168	79	101	13	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	150	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	9	109	183	86	110	14	
Major/Minor I	Minor2		Vajor1	Ν	/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	569	117	124	0		0	
Stage 1	117	-	-	-	Z -	-	
Stage 2	452	-	-			-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	F	.	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-		-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	484	935	1463	-	-	•	V
Stage 1	908	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	641	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	424	935	1463	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	424	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	795	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	641	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9		5.3		0		
HCM LOS	А						
Minor Lane/Maior Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1463	-	858	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.125	-	0.137	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.8	-	9.9	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A	_	Α	_	-	

0.4

0.5

-



Int Delay, s/veh

132.7

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲.	el 🗍			र्स	1		4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	64	165	29	0	68	39	10	110	0	139	294	87
Future Vol, veh/h	64	165	29	0	68	39	10	110	0	139	294	87
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	150	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	7,9	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5		0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Mvmt Flow	81	209	37	0	86	49	13	139	0	176	372	110

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2	•	ľ	Minor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	135	0	0	246		0	742	525	228	545	494	86	
Stage 1	-	· -	-	-	Z -	-	390	390	-	86	86	-	
Stage 2	-		-			-	352	135	-	459	408	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.1	-	-	4.1	F	U .	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.24	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-		-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	· -	-	-		-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.2	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.336	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1462		-	1332	-	-	134	460	816	452	479	967	
Stage 1	-		-	-	-	-	67	611	Ā	927	827	-	
Stage 2	-	· -	-	-	-	-	6 9	789		586	600	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1462	-	-	1332	-	-	90	42	36	326	453	967	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	· -	-	-	-	-	90	435	_	326	453	-	
Stage 1	-	· -	-	-	-	-	603	577	-	876	82.	-	
Stage 2	-	· -	-	-	-	-	326	789	-	420	58	-	
Annraach	ГО	1					ND			3			
Approach										35			
HCM Control Delay, s	1.9			0			24.9			249.8			
HCM LOS							С			F			
Minor Lane/Maior Myr	nt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1				
										_		_	

Capacity (veh/h)	330	1462	-	-	1332	-	-	446
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.46	0.055	-	-	-	-	-	1.476
HCM Control Delay (s)	24.9	7.6	-	-	0	-	- 3	249.8
HCM Lane LOS	С	А	-	-	А	-	-	F
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	2.3	0.2	-	-	0	-	-	33.8

Int Delay, s/veh 300.5

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u> </u>	eî 👘			र्च	1		4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	76	108	20	2	184	135	35	315	1	103	183	59
Future Vol, veh/h	76	108	20	2	184	135	35	315	1	103	183	59
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	150	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	3		0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	84	119	22	2	202	148	38	346	1	113	201	65

Major/Minor	Major1			ajor2	•		Minor1		ľ	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	350	0	0	141		0	711	652	130	678	515	202	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	Z -	-	298	298	-	206	206	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-			-	413	354	-	472	309	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.24	-	-	4.1	F	.	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	- 📕 -	-	6,1	5.5	-	6.1	5.5	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.326	-	-	2.2	-		0.5	4	3.3	3.5	4	3.3	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1145	-	-	1455	-	-	251	390	925	369	466	844	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	671	Ā	801	735	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ø	634		576	663	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1145	-	-	1455	-	-	195	30	92 -	~ 48	431	844	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	195	361	_	~ 48	<u>43</u> 1	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	663	622	-	743	73	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	415	633	-	236	61	-	
Aren vo o oh										-			
Approach									•	35			
HCM Control Delay, s	3.1			0			134.5		\$	924.9			
HCM LOS							F			F			
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1				
Capacity (veh/h)		333	1145	-	-	1455	-	-	131				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		1.158	0.073	-	-	0.002	-	-	2.894				
HCM Control Delay (s)	134.5	8.4	-	-	7.5	0	-\$	924.9				
HCM Lane LOS	,	F	А	-	-	A	A	-	F				

0

+: Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon ~: Volume exceeds capacity \$: Delay exceeds 300s

35.1

_

2035 Build Out with improvements (Turn Lanes) PM Peak Hour

15.8

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

Notes

0.2



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary 1: Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336)

12/29/2023

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	*	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			\$			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	64	165	29	0	68	39	10	110	0	139	294	87
Future Volume (veh/h)	64	165	29	0	68	39	10	110	0	139	294	87
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1900	1826	1900	1900	1781	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1841
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	81	209	37	0	86	49	13	139	0	176	372	110
Peak Hour Factor	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79
Percent Heavy Veh, %	0	5	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Cap, veh/h	179	294	47	0	271	155	117	841	0	279	475	130
Arrive On Green	0.25	25	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.47	0.47	0.00	0.47	0.47	0.47
Sat Flow, veh/h	294	115	185	0	1065	607	56	1802	0	370	1018	279
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	30	0	0	0	0	135	152	0	0	658	0	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	32	0	0	0	0	1672	1858	0	0	1666	0	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	5.		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.0	0.0	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0
Prop In Lane	0.25		P	0.0		0.36	0.09		0.00	0.27		0.17
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	520	0			0	426	958	0	0	884	0	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.00	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	780	0	Q	0	0	698	1357	0	0	1258	0	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	14.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	13.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0) J	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	• 6.7	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS	В	<u>A</u>	A	A	<u>A</u>	В	A	A	A	В	<u>A</u>	<u> </u>
Approach Vol, veh/h		327			135			15			658	
Approach Delay, s/veh		16.1			13.4			5.7	•		11.4	
Approach LOS		В			В			A			В	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		26.1		17.0		26.1		17.0				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		6.0		6.0		6.0		6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		30.0		18.0		30.0		18.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		4.0		10.0		16.8		4.8				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.7		1.0		3.3		0.4				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			12.3									
HCM 6th LOS			В									

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary 1: Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Tillman Road (SC 336)

12/29/2023

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			4			\$	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	76	108	20	2	184	135	35	315	1	103	183	59
Future Volume (veh/h)	76	108	20	2	184	135	35	315	1	103	183	59
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1693	1856	1900	1900	1841	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	84	119	22	2	202	148	38	346	1	113	201	65
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Percent Heavy Veh, %	14	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cap, veh/h	293	314	48	122	291	212	163	529	1	275	311	89
Arrive On Green	0.29	29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Sat Flow, veh/h	433	100	163	3	988	719	100	1744	5	390	1026	293
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	25	0	0	352	0	0	385	0	0	379	0	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	64	0	0	1710	0	0	1849	0	0	1709	0	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
Prop In Lane	0.37		P	0.1		0.42	0.10		0.00	0.30		0.17
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	656	0		61	0	0	693	0	0	675	0	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1090	0	Q	1152	0	0	1347	0	0	1223	0	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	8.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.8		0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	P	1.	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh							`					
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	8.8	0.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS	Α	A	A	В	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	<u> </u>
Approach Vol, veh/h		225			352			38			379	
Approach Delay, s/veh		8.8			10.1			0.8	•		9.8	
Approach LOS		А			В			A			А	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		15.0		14.8		15.0		14.8				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		6.0		6.0		6.0		6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		20.0		18.0		20.0		18.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		7.3		5.0		7.3		7.4				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.6		0.9		1.7		1.3				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			9.7									
HCM 6th LOS			А									



Intersection					
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.5				
Intersection LOS	А				
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	327	135	152	658	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	337	142	152	662	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	548	233	476	106	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	220	395	409	269	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.3	4.7	6.1	8.9	
Approach LOS		A	А	А	
Lane	L.	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	R	LTR	LTR	LTR	
Assumed Moves	LI	LTR	LTR	LTR	
RT Channelized					
Lane Util	1.000	1.010	1.000	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.6	2.609	2.609	
Critical Headway, s	4.976	ч.J/6	4.976	4.976	
Entry Flow, veh/h	337	142	152	662	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	789	1088	849	1238	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.969	0.951	1.000	0.994	
Flow Entry, veh/h	327	100	152	658	
Cap Entry, veh/h	765	1035	849	1231	
V/C Ratio	0.427	0.131	0 79	0.535	
Control Delay, s/veh	10.3	4.7	6.	8.9	
LOS	В	A	A	А	
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	0		3	

12/29/2023

Intersection					
Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.8				
Intersection LOS	А				
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	225	352	385	379	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	241	360	385	379	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	316	480	332	250	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	313	237	225	590	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	6.3	9.7	8.0	7.0	
Approach LOS		A	А	А	
Lane	Lr.	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	•R	LTR	LTR	LTR	
Assumed Moves	LI	LTR	LTR	LTR	
RT Channelized					
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.6	2.609	2.609	
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.576	4.976	4.976	
Entry Flow, veh/h	241	360	385	379	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1000	846	984	1069	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.935	0.978	1.000	1.000	
Flow Entry, veh/h	225	302	385	379	
Cap Entry, veh/h	935	827	984	1069	
V/C Ratio	0.241	0.426	0.991	0.354	
Control Delay, s/veh	6.3	9.7 🔻	8	7.0	
LOS	A	A	A	А	
95th %tile Queue, veh	1	2	2	2	





APPENDIX H

AUXILIARY TURN LANE ANALYSIS

J - 30596.0000

January 2024





- 1. The family of curves represents the percent of left turns in \P e advancing volume (V_A). The designer should locate the curve for the actual percentage of left turns. When this is not an even increment of 5, the designer should estimate where the curve lies.
- 2. Read V_A and V_O into the chart and locate the intersection of the two volumes.
- 3. Note the location of the point in #2 relative to the line in #1. If the point is to the right of the line, then a left-turn lane is warranted. If the point is to the left of the line, then a left-turn lane is not warranted based on traffic volumes.

VOLUME GUIDELINES FOR LEFT-TURN LANES AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ON TWO-LANE HIGHWAYS (55 mph) Figure 9.5-D



Tillman Road (SC 336) & Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) eastbound

Note: For highways with a design speed below 50 mes per hour with a DHV < 300 and where right turns > 40, an adjustment should be used. To read the vertical axis of the chart, subtract 20 from the actual number of right turns.

AM:	PM:
Speed = 55	Speed = 55
DHV = 258	DHV = 204
V _R = 29	$V_{R} = 20$

GUIDELINES FOR RIGHT-TURN LANES AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ON TWO-LANE HIGHWAYS Figure 9.5-A



Note: For highways with a design speed below 50 mes per hour with a DHV < 300 and where right turns > 40, an adjustment should be used. To read the vertical axis of the chart, subtract 20 from the actual number of right turns.

AM:	PM:
Speed = 55	Speed $= 55$
DHV = 107	DHV = 319
V _R = 39	V _R = 135

GUIDELINES FOR RIGHT-TURN LANES AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ON TWO-LANE HIGHWAYS Figure 9.5-A




Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf Road westbound

Note: For highways with a design speed below 50 m, es per hour with a DHV < 300 and where right turns > 40, an adjustment should be used. To read the vertical axis of the chart, subtract 20 from the actual number of right turns.

AM:	PM:
Speed = 55	Speed = 55
DHV = 162	DHV = 255
V _R = 24	$V_{R} = 78$

GUIDELINES FOR RIGHT-TURN LANES AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ON TWO-LANE HIGHWAYS Figure 9.5-A



Tillman Road (SC 336) & Nimmer Turf Road eastbound

- 1. The family of curves represents the percent of left turns in $\oint e$ advancing volume (V_A). The designer should locate the curve for the actual percentage of left turns. When this is not an even increment of 5, the designer should estimate where the curve lies.
- 2. Read V_A and V_O into the chart and locate the intersection of the two volumes.
- 3. Note the location of the point in #2 relative to the line in #1. If the point is to the right of the line, then a left-turn lane is warranted. If the point is to the left of the line, then a left-turn lane is not warranted based on traffic volumes.





Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 1 northbound

- The family of curves represents the percent of left turns in the advancing volume (V_A). The designer should locate the curve for the actual percentage of left turns. When this is not an even increment of 5, the designer should estimate where the curve lies.
- 2. Read V_A and V_O into the chart and locate the intersection of the two volumes.
- 3. Note the location of the point in #2 relative to the line in #1. If the point is to the right of the line, then a left-turn lane is warranted. If the point is to the left of the line, then a left-turn lane is not warranted based on traffic volumes.





Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) & Access 2 northbound

- 1. The family of curves represents the percent of left turns in $\oint e$ advancing volume (V_A). The designer should locate the curve for the actual percentage of left turns. When this is not an even increment of 5, the designer should estimate where the curve lies.
- 2. Read V_A and V_O into the chart and locate the intersection of the two volumes.
- 3. Note the location of the point in #2 relative to the line in #1. If the point is to the right of the line, then a left-turn lane is warranted. If the point is to the left of the line, then a left-turn lane is not warranted based on traffic volumes.





- 1. The family of curves represents the percent of left turns in $\sqrt{4}$ e advancing volume (V_A). The designer should locate the curve for the actual percentage of left turns. When this is not an even increment of 5, the designer should estimate where the curve lies.
- 2. Read V_A and V_O into the chart and locate the intersection of the two volumes.
- 3. Note the location of the point in #2 relative to the line in #1. If the point is to the right of the line, then a left-turn lane is warranted. If the point is to the left of the line, then a left-turn lane is not warranted based on traffic volumes.





Note: For highways with a design speed below 50 m, es per hour with a DHV < 300 and where right turns > 40, an adjustment should be used. To read the vertical axis of the chart, subtract 20 from the actual number of right turns.

AM:	PM:
Speed = 25	Speed = 25
DHV = 60	DHV = 179
$V_{R} = 48-20 = 29$	$V_{R} = 168-20 = 149$

GUIDELINES FOR RIGHT-TURN LANES AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ON TWO-LANE HIGHWAYS Figure 9.5-A Nimmer Turf Road & Access 3 southbound



- 1. The family of curves represents the percent of left turns in $\sqrt{4}$ e advancing volume (V_A). The designer should locate the curve for the actual percentage of left turns. When this is not an even increment of 5, the designer should estimate where the curve lies.
- 2. Read V_A and V_O into the chart and locate the intersection of the two volumes.
- 3. Note the location of the point in #2 relative to the line in #1. If the point is to the right of the line, then a left-turn lane is warranted. If the point is to the left of the line, then a left-turn lane is not warranted based on traffic volumes.





APPENDIX I

SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

J - 30596.0000

January 2024

Signal Warrant Analysis Tarboro Road (SC 27-22) Tillman Road (SC 336)

Time	NB Volumes Tarboro Road (SC 27-22)	SB Volumes Tarboro Road (SC 27-22)	Major Street Volume Tarboro Road (SC 27-22)	Minor Street Volume Tillman Road (SC 336)		Minor Street Volume Tillman Road (SC 336)		Warrant 1 (8-hour volumes) Condition A or B 70% Volume Thresholds		Warrant 1 (8-hour volumes) Condition A or B 100% Volume Thresholds	
			\frown			Condition A	Conditon B	Condition A	Condition B		
					I	major>350 and	major>525 and	major>500 and	major>750 and		
						minor>105	minor>53	minor>150	minor>75		
6-7 AM	103	147	250	93		NO	NO	NO	NO		
7-8 AM	207	366	573	146		YES	YES	NO	NO		
8-9 AM	140	258	35	137		YES	NO	NO	NO		
9-10AM	119	169	288	107		NO	NO	NO	NO		
10-11AM	146	209	355	132		YES	NO	NO	NO		
11AM-12PM	170	242	412	153		YES	NO	NO	NO		
12-1 PM	222	318	540	201		YES	YES	YES	NO		
1-2 PM	191	273	464			YES	NO	NO	NO		
2-3 PM	196	339	535	185		YES	YES	YES	NO		
3-4 PM	237	384	621	234			YES	YES	NO		
4-5 PM	241	404	645	254		IES	YES	YES	NO		
5-6 PM	261	393	654	271		KĘS 🔶	YES	YES	NO		
6-7 PM	204	292	496	184	Y	YES	NO	NO	NO		
	Number of Hours meeting warrants				11		5	0			
	Hours needed to meet warrant				8	8	8	8			
						•					
		Warrant Met?				YES	NO	NO	NO		

*Build Out

One Lane Major Street, One Lane Minor Street



NIMMER SPECIAL DISTRICT

EXHIBIT E PRELIMINARY PLAT





thomasandhutton.com

